


Spearhead

£1.50

No. 355 SEPTEMBER 1998

ISSN 1364-7105

STOP THE BUILDING!



BNP launches new campaign
See page 4

WHAT WE THINK

Credit where it's due

As far as we can remember, *Spearhead* has never before had occasion to praise the Anglican Church in Africa. Like all the other normal inhabitants of Britain, however, we could only applaud the way in which the African bishops led the traditionalist backlash against the aggressive triumphalism of the pro-homosexual lobby at the recent General Synod. Best of all was the widely publicised attempt by one of the no-nonsense African prelates to 'cure' one of the shrill queer protesters — a bishop with a healthy outlook on life and a sense of humour; now there's a rare bird!

The down-side, however, is that nothing could tell us more about the terminal decadence of the Church of England hierarchy in Britain than that a stand against perversion, in favour of one of the fundamental teachings of Christianity, had to be made by bishops from Africa rather than the clergy of our own land.

Un-ethylcal

As an indication of our exceptionally generous mood this month, here's another

dollop of praise from *Spearhead* for a most unusual recipient of our largesse: Three cheers for *The Guardian*!

Let us explain. Towards the end of July, a company called the Ethyl Corporation forced the Canadian government to allow it to sell a chemical known as methylcyclopentadienyl manganese tricarbonyl — MMT — in Canada.

MMT is a fuel additive which is mixed with petrol to prevent engine knocking. Extensive scientific evidence also suggests that it is a dangerous neurotoxin, with the manganese in particular believed to enter the body through the lungs and to cause nerve damage leading to psychosis, memory loss and premature death.

Canada was the only place where MMT was sold, until Canadian MPs voted to ban it in April 1997. Three years earlier, however, Canada had joined with the USA and Mexico in signing the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) which, among other things, allows companies to sue governments they believe to be raising unfair barriers to trade.

Armed with this remarkable restriction on the sovereignty of democratically elected governments, the Ethyl Corporation promptly hauled Canada up before the secret NAFTA tribunal which exists to settle such disputes.

The tribunal releases no records of its deliberations, its decisions cannot be appealed, and it is staffed with unelected bureaucrats committed to unrestricted free trade. The Canadian government therefore realised that it had no chance of winning. It therefore agreed to allow Ethyl Corporation to resume sales of MMT in Canada, to pay the company \$13 million in compensation, and to tell its citizens the lie that "MMT poses no health risk."

So what has all this got to do with *The Guardian* and the rest of us in Britain? Well, the story was almost completely ignored by the British media, until *The Guardian* published an article by the environmentalist campaigner George Monbiot. In addition to discussing the case itself, the article went on to point out that the profoundly dangerous and anti-democratic NAFTA provisions under which the Canadian government was forced to sell out its people are virtually identical to those of the Multilateral Agreement on Investment (MAI).

Furthermore, it explained the way in which the MAI, to which Tony Blair intends to make Britain a signatory, will allow corporations to sue governments which attempt to keep dangerous products such as MMT out of their countries, or which try to implement policies designed to favour local companies in any way over foreign multi-nationals.

Up, until now, the only worthwhile explanations of how the MAI will work have been confined to small-circulation patriotic newspapers in America, and to a few even smaller-circulation 'green' publications in Britain. The widespread exposure of the internationalists' latest ploy to tighten their grip on our economy and government, however, will be much more worrying to those who would take away our jobs, security and safety in the quest for even higher profits. For such plots thrive in darkness and secrecy; they cannot stand the light of public scrutiny. Readers who are in the habit of writing letters to the local press should make a point of raising the issue.

Bombs in Africa: *Cui bono?*

Whenever American persons or property become the targets of bomb outrages, the world's media, almost in a Pavlovian dog reaction, start to debate which group of Arabs or Moslems could be responsible. So it was with the two explosions last month in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam, which took a high death and injury toll. In just what way any Arab or Moslem cause could benefit from such atrocities seems never to be considered. Perhaps, therefore, we should widen the range of possible culprits just a little.

In this connection, John Simpson, writing in *The Sunday Telegraph* of August 9th, raised an intriguing point — though not necessarily intentionally. Before Clinton tried to deflect public attention from his own domestic problems by adding American bombs to the thousands of tons of high explosive which the Soviet Union dropped on Afghanistan, he headed his article 'These bombs could be bad news for Saddam', he went on to say that: "The latest outrages may force Clinton to get tough with Iraq." And he continued:

"The car bombers' wider and in some ways more effective results come from the paranoia they create. People want someone to suffer for all this death and destruction,

Spearhead

No. 355 SEPTEMBER 1998

c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW
Editor: John Tyndall Assistant Editor: Tom North

Spearhead exists to reflect a cross-section of contemporary British Nationalist opinion. It is privately published by its Editor and is independent of all political parties and groups.

Unless it is specifically stated otherwise, the views expressed in signed articles or letters in *Spearhead* are the sole responsibility of their writers and do not necessarily represent the views of the editor or the policies of any political organisation that *Spearhead* may support editorially.

The appearance of an advertisement in *Spearhead* does not necessarily indicate that the Editor has any knowledge of, interest in or support for the product, service, organisation or function being advertised.

Spearhead welcomes enquiries from prospective advertisers, to whom rates will be sent on request. Advertising matter, accompanied by pre-payment, must be submitted at least one month prior to the publishing date (normally the first day of the month) of the issue for which the advertisement is intended. The Editor reserves the right to refuse to publish advertisements submitted.

The Editor is pleased to receive from readers manuscripts for articles for possible publication. The most useful lengths are either around 1,000 or 1850 words. Preferred format is Windows Write or ASCII format on 3" computer disk, but neatly typed copy is acceptable. No payment is made for articles published, which become *Spearhead* copyright unless the writers specifically request otherwise at the time they submit their manuscripts. The Editor reserves the right to shorten or otherwise amend articles submitted for publication.

Those wishing to reprint *Spearhead* articles should ensure when doing so to give public acknowledgement to both the writer and to *Spearhead*, and to include in the acknowledgement the full name and address of the magazine.

and they do not always care who...

"Now the United States is once more resounding to demands for unspecified but ferocious action, even before anyone can be certain precisely who carried out these terrible bombings in East Africa or why..."

Then, speaking of President Clinton, the writer said:-

"...he will feel the need to act in a way that will be interpreted as strength rather than weakness. This is a bad time for Saddam Hussein to have chosen to foment one of his regular crises over sanctions and arms control. When bombs are killing Americans in one part of the world, American opinion will not put up with seeing Clinton back down or be worsted in the Gulf..."

"The car bombers wantonly smashed a lot of ordinary, decent lives in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam on Friday morning but the loudest reverberations from the bombs there are likely to echo in the Gulf."

It will be recalled that earlier this year Clinton, strongly egged on by the Israeli lobby in Washington, was shaping up for a further showdown with Saddam but was frustrated when, after flying a few kites around the world's capitals for the idea of a renewed strike against Iraq, he found that few nations were keen on the idea. So it was shelved for the time being — no doubt with the idea that, if a convincing *casus belli* presented itself later on, the project could be renewed. As Simpson stated, the bombs in Nairobi and Dar es Salaam could provide just that *casus belli*. So who carried out the explosions?

Well, it could all depend on who you think most wants the Americans to go in and carry out a new war of annihilation against Iraq?

In the very same issue of *The Sunday Telegraph* a report appeared which might be of some interest in connection with the two outrages. This stated:-

"International rescue efforts — spearheaded by British troops and volunteer workers and Israeli military engineers — were last night battling against time to save the last trapped victims of the Nairobi bomb blast."

Israeli military engineers, eh? This poses what many will no doubt regard as an indecent and impertinent question.

Were these Israelis actually there to save American and Kenyan lives?

Or were they there to remove the evidence?

Tower (of Babel) Hamlets

The citizens of London received a double dose of truth, courtesy of the *Evening Standard*, on 5th August. "Dover faces migrant rush: Five-fold increase at Kent port poses significant threat," warned the front-page headline. Apparently the number of illegal immigrants caught at Dover in the first seven months of 1998 was 1,484, five times more than in the

control they meet is Britain's."

Inside the paper, a double-page spread, headlined 'Illegal human trade that fell off the back of a lorry', revealed that the vast majority of the new wave of illegal immigrants are Albanians, but that Chinese and Sri Lankans are also a common catch in the small proportion of vehicles which are stopped.

A lorryload discovered while the paper's reporter was at the port was made up of 21 young men and women. The 13 Indians, five Sri Lankans, three Pakistanis and one Afghan were thought to have paid about £2,000 each to be smuggled into Britain.

The other race-related story to appear in the same unusually truthful issue was the announcement that London now 'boasts' 275 different languages, and that a third of London households do not speak English as a first language (note, incidentally, that this figure excludes most West Indians). This had come to light as a result of an incident the previous day, which had exposed how the situation can make life very difficult for the emergency services:-

"The dilemma was evident at yesterday's mass evacuation in the East End, when police tried to move 1,500 people from an estate where an unexploded bomb was discovered. Bemused residents, some of whom had never heard of the Blitz, could not understand the fuss and refused to allow officers into their homes."

Faced with such communications problems, the police, like the London Ambulance Service, use the Language Line interpretation service, which has 120 interpreters, speaking more than 100 of the most widely used languages in London, operating round the clock.

BEATING THE LANGUAGE BARRIER

- Nearly a third of London households do not speak English as a first language.
- The most commonly spoken foreign languages in the capital are the Asian languages of Bengali, Gujarati, Punjabi and Hindi.
- Lesser known languages include: Waray-Waray, spoken on an island in the South Pacific; Wolof, from Senegal; Dinka, spoken by the Sudanese; Ga, a dialect from a coastal region of Ghana; Krio, an English-based Creole spoken in parts of Sierra Leone; and Patua, shared by only 16,000 people in an area of India.
- In a recent survey at a school in Tower Hamlets, pupils spoke no less than 29 different languages, including some of the above.

same period last year.

According to David Roberts, the Immigration Service's assistant director, the figures pose "a significant problem and a significant threat." Mr. Roberts put the problem down to the 1995 Schengen Agreement, when the majority of EU states voted to abolish border controls. As a result, he said: "There are vast numbers of displaced groups moving across Europe. Under Schengen, the first border



Bewildered. London bobbies now encounter 275 different languages

BNP launches powerful new leaflets and asks you to HELP WIN THE WAR!

SAVE OUR STERLING



THE LAST TIME the politicians messed about with our money, it was a *disaster*. Decimilisation turned out to be just an excuse for big shops to push up their prices. And because no-one really knew how much the new currency was worth, the Government was able to let inflation rip as it tried to spend its way back to popularity with a mountain of borrowed money that is still costing us all a fortune in interest payments.

While the banks and the fat-cats got fatter, thousands of small businesses were destroyed by the cost of the changeover. Hundreds of millions of pounds of taxpayers' money were wasted on 're-education programmes', and to produce the new coins and notes. Schoolchildren lost out on real maths lessons as the bureaucrats behind the change forced teachers to turn lessons into advertisements for the new system, and everybody lost out in the confusion. Prices were always rounded up, never down!

Politicians plan to kill off the Pound

Now they're at it again! Although Tony Blair is refusing to kill off the £ before the next election, its death warrant was signed by the last Tory government. The LibDems want to replace our money with Ecu notes and coins at once. Labour and the Tories want to as well, but are scared of a popular backlash if they do it too soon.

That worry is the only thing that can stop them scrapping the Pound, and a massive popular political revolt is the only thing that can get the Euro-maniacs out of power. That's why nationalist parties opposed to the planned European Empire are growing rapidly. It's happened already in Norway, Denmark, Belgium, Germany, Austria and France. Now it's happening in Britain too. The British National Party is organising to launch a *real* challenge to the Euro-maniacs and their plan to scrap the Pound.

In the 1999 Euro-Elections, the BNP will give millions of Britons the chance to vote for a party which will go to the European Parliament not to get on the nation-wrecking gravy train, but to throw a spanner in its works. **We'll defend our right to run our own affairs, make our own laws, and keep our own currency.** There's no other way to save the Pound. There's no other way to restore Britain's freedom.

But Britain needs your help too. Show where you stand by displaying the 'Save Our Sterling' logo. Get involved with the Save Our Sterling campaign. And make up your mind to protest at the Euro-maniacs' arrogant schemes by voting for the British National Party in the next Euro-election.

WITH units in many parts of the country reporting a big increase in the follow-up rate from leaflets, and with popular disillusionment with New Labour growing by the day, the BNP's publicity department has just launched a completely new range of strikingly illustrated and punchily worded leaflets.

Four are illustrated here, while the artwork from the fifth — a timely exposure of the direct link between the tide of building on the Green Belt and mass immigration — is featured on the front cover of this issue of *Spearhead*.

With these five new additions to the BNP's range of recruitment material (see page 25 for details), there is now a leaflet for the BNP's propaganda war for every area and situation.

Please help the BNP to make the best possible use of this new wave of first-class publicity material. In addition to the need for party units to step up their regular leafleting sessions, every member and supporter should set themselves a personal target to put out at least a handful every day.

All of the leaflets pictured here, and the 'Stop the Building' leaflet, are two-coloured and double-sided. They cost £8 per 1,000, plus £5.15 p&p, or just £5.80 p&p for 2,000.



Left: Albanian immigrants pour off a freighter into Italy. Once they are there, EU rules make it easy for them to join the flood of immigrants and bogus 'asylum-seekers' pouring into Britain

DO YOU THINK

YES/NO

YES/NO

YES/NO

YES/NO

YES/NO

YES/NO

that Britain should continue to take in, house, feed and care for thousands of 'asylum-seekers' at a time when homelessness and hospital waiting lists are rising steadily?

that Labour and LibDem councils are right to keep putting native-born British people to the back of the housing queue?

that the Home Secretary is right to give an extra 30,000 'asylum-seekers' the right to stay in Britain just because the authorities haven't been able to investigate their often bogus claims as to why they should be allowed in?

that it's right to build new houses on Green Belt land in order to make room for 150,000 extra immigrants every year?

that it was right or democratic to allow mass immigration to change the face of our country without the politicians ever asking us if we wanted it?

NO! EVERY TIME? That's what we think too. That's why we're building the British National Party. And why you should join our campaign to put the British people first, before immigrants and bogus 'asylum-seekers'.

OUT OF WORK? "DO THE FULL MONTY!"

says Tony Blair



"GET 'EM OFF!" That's the insulting message sent by Tony Blair to the unemployed and low-waged as his government's policies plunge Britain into yet another unnecessary recession.

Speaking in Sheffield while launching a programme to force the young unemployed into cheap labour rip-offs and pointless 'make-work' schemes, Blair had the barefaced cheek to tell us that the unemployed should follow the example of the redundant steelworkers who 'retired' as strippers in the film *The Full Monty*.

This, he lectured, was a good example of the sort of flexibility needed to get a job in Britain today!

What a nerve! The Tories closed down whole industries, but even they only said: "Get on yer bike!" Now Labour are closing down what's left and, with no real jobs around, they want us to "Get 'Em Off!"

Blair not only thinks it's funny to see skilled men trying to earn the money to keep their families together by stripping the gutted shell of their old workplace —

he actually thinks it's a good thing!

Remember that when you next meet someone campaigning for the Labour Party — but don't hold your breath, because these champagne socialists only make the effort to mix with us peasants at election times, when they expect us all to put them back into parliament or the council chamber for another few years of high-life and back-handers.

Doesn't it make you sick? And isn't it time you joined the people who are going to do something about it? The British National Party is growing fast all over Britain, and now we're organising around here as well.

Our aim is simple: to build a totally new political movement, which will give the British people the chance to kick out the tired and corrupt Lib/Lab/Con gang — and their job-destroying policies of 'globalisation'. In their place we're going to put a *patriotic* government — a government which will put Britain and the British people first, and get this country back to work!

**FIND OUT ABOUT THE BRITISH ALTERNATIVE
0700 9000 538 www.bnpto**

CONSERVATIVE? NOT ANY MORE!



A PARTY that stands for the family. A party that stands up for the victims of crime, and for the effective punishment of criminals. A party that promotes decency and rejects perversion. A party that stands for Britain, and for the silent majority. A party that stands for you. Very probably, that used to be the Conservative Party — but times have changed!

It's true that more and more of what is going wrong in our country today is the fault of the Labour Government, but deciding to vote against Blair & Co. next time isn't enough to turn things around. After all, the present rot started under the last government.

Cutting mortgage interest tax relief, slashing university grants, and the skewing of the social security system to favour single parents over married couples, were all anti-family policies dreamed up by the Tories. Similarly, it was the last Conservative Government which signed the Maastricht Treaty and so condemned Britain to be submerged in a Federal Europe.

The Tories talk about "preserving the British identity", about "family values" and "listening" to ordinary people. But don't be fooled by what they say, look at what they do!

The 'Con' Party

William Hague (pictured chasing the 'ethnic' vote at the Notting Hill carnival) may talk like a 'Euro-sceptic', but he has fixed the lists of approved European Election candidates so as to force local Tory party activists to adopt pro-Federal Europe candidates. The baseball-capped wearing 'traditionalist' may talk about "family values", but he supported the disgusting Labour attempt to make it legal for grown men to bugger schoolboys. Many of the Conservative MPs who voted for this perverts' charter are themselves homosexuals.

One of Hague's up-and-coming MPs, 'right-wing' bachelor Alan Duncan, has gone even further. When his Rutland constituents found themselves facing a threat to house the convicted paedophile child-killer, Robert Oliver, in a hostel in the village of Wing, they naturally asked for his help in stopping this deadly threat. Yet, instead of taking notice of his constituents' concerns for their children's freedom, innocence and lives, Duncan sided instead with the liberal do-gooders backing the pervert. So much for "listening to Britain"!

With William Hague's continued support, Duncan criticised his own constituents for 'intolerance' and attacked British National Party members who picketed the hostel as 'extremists'. Yet can you honestly think of *anything* more extreme than forcing the parents of a law-abiding village to accept into their midst a sadistic child-rapist and multiple murderer?

MICHAEL BROOKE reports on a wave of activity which is BUILDING SUPPORT

Coxmoor Junior School, Ashfield

This is our very first activity using the double-sided anti-paedophile leaflet. The school is in the heart of an area where the BNP already has a good deal of support. Having positioned ourselves at a respectable distance from the school gate, we are soon swamped by parents eager to secure "another leaflet for my friends." Blast it! Why did I only bring 50 leaflets, when I already knew that people would be sympathetic? Perhaps because activists read nationalist literature so often that its impact on us is less than on potential supporters.

Also, it is perhaps possible for a man to underestimate the strength of the bond between mothers and their young children. In any case, the anti-paedophile leaflet is received with phenomenal warmth by local parents, particularly women.

As we hand out the last of our leaflets, we are the grateful recipients of a very angry glare from a school mistress passing by in a rather posh car!

Movern Park Junior, East Kirkby

No sooner have we arrived than we are spotted by two female teachers (have they been expecting us?) Do we have any ID? Do we know that a man seen loitering around the school just two days before is alleged to have tried to abduct a child? They have called the police.

The boys in blue duly arrive, take both our IDs and radio their bigwigs to find out what to do next. They are somewhat flustered to find that there is nothing in the leaflet which contravenes the law. So we are given clearance to proceed, just in time to catch the parents who are by now arriving at the school in small groups.

When a black woman appears, I point out that our policies are intended to protect not just some children, but *all* children.

Later, we are congratulated by the police for being "so very courteous, and not shoving your views down the parents' throats."

Intake Farm Junior, Mansfield

Today we join with our branch organiser, Steve Belshaw, his brother and several other activists for a spot of action in Mansfield. As we hand out leaflets, Steve explains our position. He seems to know the script by heart and clearly makes an impression as he draws a young mother's

attention to the victims of establishment softness to perverts:-

"Paedophiles are dangerous vermin; they should be locked away for life and, if they murder innocent children, they should swing!"

By now a crowd of six or seven have stopped to listen and, as we give them all leaflets, Steve says a few words more:

"Do you ladies know what I've always said? I've always said that they should be locked inside a room and that you women should be given the opportunity of mauling the scum to death!"

"But what can we do?" asked one woman.

"Ring the number on the bottom of the leaflet, and lobby your Labour MP and the other politicians who have allowed this state of affairs to happen."

Lemington Junior, Sutton

With my colleague keeping a lookout, I begin handing out leaflets. Parents immediately gather round to take them, and to talk about their very own local problem — and how the authorities won't take action. They all seem resigned to being ignored and to having paedophiles forced on their area by the council. I assure them that, should they wish to form a peaceful protest group, they can rely on BNP support.

By this time one leaflet has found its way into the hands of two very 'offended' teachers, both of whom are in very confrontational mood. If they get any closer they'll be on top of us!

But their anger turns to bewilderment. They are dazed by their own isolation as they find rock-solid agreement among the parents that paedophiles who kill their victims should hang. Nevertheless, the teachers claim that we are "frightening the children," suggest that it is wrong to cause trouble for perverts who have done their time, and they ask us to move on. Not today!

Then the female teacher admits that she hasn't even read the leaflet.

"They should hang them by the b**ls!" shouts one parent. "That's too good for them!" shouts another.

Taking advantage of the strong sympathy for our message, I beckon the parents to gather round a little more closely.

"These teachers, in whose charge you leave your children almost every day,

do not agree *your* view that paedophiles who molest children should be locked away for life. What is more, they do not agree with *your* view that those of them who murder our children should hang! But what is even more remarkable is that, unlike you, this 'lady' teacher hasn't even bothered to read the leaflet which articulates *your* views! So what does all of this add up to? It must surely mean that she doesn't agree that children, *your* children, should be protected from paedophiles."

The 'lady' teacher in question slinks away for, like the other schools mentioned in this report, one of our reasons for choosing Lemington Junior is that a child-sex offender has been living locally.



Who will protect them? While liberals bleat about the 'rights' of perverts, only the BNP speaks of the rights of children

STEVE BELSHAW, Mansfield and Ashfield organiser, reports further:-

To date, ten schools have been targeted with the anti-paedophile leaflets. They have been well-received by everybody, apart from one woman who foamed at the mouth as she screamed that we were "racist, sexist and homophobic"! Her anger increased still further when other parents asked her if she was mad! She finally left in great distress after they told her that they backed the BNP all the way, saying that they too were proud to be patriotic, and that she wouldn't like it if *her* children became paedophile victims.

More such activities are planned, particularly in two areas where perverts have been active — obviously spurred on by Labour's plans to allow perverts to molest 16-year olds.

GRASP THE NETTLE!

NICK GRIFFIN examines the disastrous effects of the Common Agricultural Policy and concludes that the BNP must champion a revolution in British farming policy

BRITISH agriculture, we are continually told by everyone from the National Farmers Union to government officials, is "the most efficient in the world." Measured purely in terms of output per man, this may be true, but this statistic in fact provides the merest fig-leaf to cover a national disaster of epic proportions.

A system which is supposed to safeguard farmers, agricultural employment, rural communities, a healthy food supply, and the environment, has become a Frankenstein's monster. The family farm is facing extinction, our water and food supplies are contaminated by poisons which are clearly implicated in the upsurge in various of the diseases of 'civilisation', the farming industry is reliant on huge quantities of imported chemicals, and vast areas of our country have been turned into a wildlife desert. It would be bad enough if this were the result of the workings of the free market, but it is not; to make things worse, the entire shambles has been financed by the British taxpayer.

That this situation has been allowed to develop and continue for some fifty years is a testament to the strength of several powerful lobbies: the Ministry of Agriculture bureaucracy, established as part of the post-war Labour Government's drive to take control of key sectors of the economy; the big landowners who appointed themselves the voice of the farming community; agro-chemical giants such as ICI; and, more recently, City investment companies, and a new wave of bureaucrats based in Brussels. Between them, these private vested interest groups have bribed, persuaded and bamboozled the politicians, blocking every attempt to reform a system which has become a national scandal.

Practical alternative

Not only is this a dangerous wrong which must be dealt with in its own right, but popular disquiet about what has happened to rural Britain is now reaching the point where it has the potential to rally huge numbers of voters and activists to the banner of a political party which is prepared to grasp this most poisonous nettle and to present a practical alternative. The old parties — safely in the pockets of the agri-business lobby — will do no such thing. The Green Party is incapable of distinguishing common sense from New Age crankiness, and, in any case, is so riddled with internationalist dogma that it could never adopt the nationalist policies which can alone provide a sound basis for reform, health and sustainability in agriculture.

So there is a huge gap in the political market

here; one which the British National Party alone can fill. In doing so we will inevitably offend the vested interests, but since — as we will see — they would not under any circumstances be friends of ours, even the cynical balance sheet of *realpolitik* dictates that we should not hesitate to put them up against the corporate wall as we reverse the destruction which their greed has wrought upon our 'green and pleasant land'.

First, then, let's take a closer look at the problems. After all, to the millions of 'townies' whose holiday journeys this summer still took them past golden cornfields, majestic trees and green rolling hills everything still looks pretty rosy in the national garden.

In fact, the British countryside has about as much life as a picture postcard. Conservationists estimate that nine-tenths of our wildlife assets have been destroyed since 1945. Just a few facts will give a picture of the full extent of the devastation: 97 per cent of all meadowland has gone; the whole 200 square miles of the Trent Valley, for example, now contains just five surviving meadows.

The loss of chalk downland is just as bad; of the 1,300 acres of wildlife-rich turf made famous by the book *Watership Down*, for example, only 40 acres of scattered fragments remain. Surveys of the hill country in the north and west of Britain have found that over-grazing by heavily-subsidised livestock is 'chronic', and is turning our uplands into green deserts, devoid of any plants except grass, and therefore incapable of sustaining many forms of wildlife.

Nationwide, the population of tree sparrows has fallen by 89 per cent, songthrus numbers have crashed by 73 per cent, skylarks are less than half as common as they were just 25 years ago. The grey partridge population is a mere 20 per cent of its 1950 level.

Run-off of some of the 21,000 tons of chemical pesticides and fertilisers poured onto our farmland every year poisons our rivers, leading to plagues of algae and the deaths of vast numbers of fish.

Soil erosion has become a major problem, thanks to the removal of hundreds of thousands of miles of hedgerows and millions of acres of permanent grassland, and the degradation of the soil caused by the use of artificial nitrate fertilisers rather than natural manures.

According to *Farming News* (25/8/95), more than one-third of all the farmland in Britain is at risk from soil erosion. In some places the fertile topsoil created by generations of careful farmers is simply being blown away; in others it is being washed into rivers by the hundreds of tons.

People and soil — the greatest assets

After its people themselves, the soil of its farms is the most valuable asset any nation can possess. Yet the farming methods imposed on this country by an unholy alliance of socialist-minded bureaucrats and capitalist agro-chemical companies are dumping the soil of Britain in the sea.

More directly dangerous to our national well-being is the problem of water pollution. In 1992 and 1993 the monitoring of pesticide levels in surface- and ground-water by the National Rivers Authority found that levels of the herbicide atrazine exceeded the recommended (!) levels in 11 per cent of the 3,500 samples. This chemical is so dangerous that it has been banned from use on food crops, but it is still used heavily on the increasing acreage of maize grown for cattle fodder.

Nor is it the only poison we get to drink courtesy of a farming system which subsidises the use of pesticides and chemical fertilisers as replacements for good husbandry and agricultural employment. The weed-killer Isoproturon is another widespread danger, having been sprayed on more than half the UK cereal area in 1995. It was found so often in samples of drinking water that moves were made to ban its use, but a widespread lobbying campaign by big farmers and the chemical

industry forced the Government to drop the idea.

The average acre of winter wheat grown in Britain has no fewer than eight pesticides sprayed on it each year, something which is only necessary because the abandonment of crop rotation and the creation of huge monocultural fields has led to a massive increase in disease which crops fed on large doses of inorganic nitrogen are incapable of resisting.

As a result, each acre of winter wheat now swallows up £50 per year in pesticides and £50 in artificial fertiliser. Since it receives an average £108 subsidy, this is paid for by the taxpayer.

And it is the taxpayer who has to pay to clean up the worst of the resulting pollution as well. The Department of the Environment 1995 Pesticides Study estimated the capital cost of bringing our pesticide-polluted water up to EU standards to be £800 million, with a subsequent annual bill of £121 million.

These figures make no allowance for dealing with the problem of poisoning by nitrate fertilisers, whose application has increased some six times since 1950. High nitrate levels have been associated with various diseases, including stomach cancer and blue-baby syndrome, but, for some strange reason, the research departments of the big chemical companies have done little investigation into these tentative conclusions. We have, however, been told the cost of reducing the level of nitrates in our drinking water to 'safe' levels: back in 1989 the estimate was £90 million. So much for the 'cost-effectiveness' of industrial agriculture.

It is important to realise who is to blame for all this. It really isn't the fault of the ordinary farmer. For fifty years now, the bureaucrats of the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Foods have laid on campaigns in conjunction with companies like ICI, pushing British agriculture down the road of high chemical/low labour inputs. It's been that way ever since the start of World War Two, when ICI's agricultural advisor was made chief advisor to the Ministry of Agriculture.

The wrong measurement

So the old, natural, labour-intensive ways — which had increased production by 20 per cent during the Depression, and fed Britain through six years of war with virtually no chemical inputs at all — were deliberately propagandised and subsidised out of existence. From then on, the 'efficiency' of our farms was to be measured in yields per man and by the overall production figures achieved with the aid of massive subsidies. The possibility that other measures — such as the quality of the food produced, the number of families sustained on the land, and the maximisation of production without the use of imported chemicals — could be of greater importance was discounted.

Successive governments have therefore adopted a policy of 'rationalising' farming, using subsidies to encourage uneconomic production in a way deliberately engineered to put the small farmer and the 'old-fashioned' farmer out of business. The madness of the whole project was summed up perfectly right at its beginning by H.J. Massingham, in *The Wisdom of the Fields*, his classic study of the small farmers whose skill saved Britain from starvation in World War Two:-

"That it should ever have entered the heads of modern busybodies to dispossess such men as these and to absorb their plots into larger units on the ground that they are 'uneconomic' is a measure of that peculiar lunacy afflicting nations that put the factory before the farm."

In 1939 there were nearly half-a-million farms in Britain, the majority of them mixed holdings of under 50 acres. Today there are only half that number. The industry forecast today is that UK cereal growers will need at least 800 acres to be profitable by the year



The author and part of his research team!

2000 — eight times today's average, a figure which is reflected in the growing number of farm amalgamations. Nor is the trend confined to arable farms. In 1970 we had 100,000 milk farmers; by 1996 there were just 27,000 left, falling at the rate of 100 per month.

Accountants Deloitte & Touche Agriculture forecast that, within two years, 80 per cent of all agricultural production in Britain will be in the hands of 12,000 agribusinesses. Already, just 9,000 specialists account for 60 per cent of UK cereal production; 9,500 farmers produce 60 per cent of all our milk, and 11,500 livestock farmers produce the same proportion of all our beef and lamb.

If the productivity of the big boys sounds impressive, it should be — because it is entirely thanks to your money! In fact, we pay twice for our food in Britain, once at the till, and once through taxation. The lucky top dozen of the 12,000 farming giants each cashed subsidy cheques of more than £1 million last year. In Scotland as a whole out of a total farm

income of £612 million in 1995, no less than £400 million came direct from the taxpayer. And most of it went to a handful of giant landowners farming huge acreages as extensively as possible, destroying rural jobs and wildlife in the process.

All-in-all, the UK taxpayer subsidises this supposedly 'efficient' industry to the tune of £10 billion per year. According to Professor Patrick Minford in *Britain and Europe: The Balance Sheet (1996)*, the Common Agricultural Policy costs Britain £6.5 billion annually in direct support, and an additional net contribution to the EU of £3.5 billion.

Dear food policy

If this dear food policy was helping to preserve jobs, protect the environment, give us a highly nutritious diet, and maintain the family farm and the fabric of rural life, it might be a price worth paying, but in fact it is doing the precise opposite in every case.

Between 1945 and 1994 the numbers employed by Britain's farmers fell from one million to 120,000 — one-third of whom were part-time. We have already taken a brief look at the environmental cost of all this.

It is known that high levels of inorganic nitrates drastically reduce the uptake of trace elements by plant roots, a fact which suggests that chemical farming produces nutritionally inferior food which may well be linked to the plague of 'modern' diseases such as cancer.

Many of the insecticides used to control pests now common as a result of heavily-subsidised overstocking of cattle and sheep are known without doubt to be literally deadly. The Ministry of Agriculture has just abandoned the policy of forcing farmers to use sheep dips containing organophosphates originally developed as nerve gases. Large numbers of farmers have been reduced to physical wrecks, suffered permanent brain damage or even died as a result.

Similarly, the organochlorine lindane has been linked by American research to breast cancer, but the 1995 Parliamentary Working Party on Pesticide Residues revealed that it is frequently found in milk. The fact that these chemicals can be dangerous to humans should come as no surprise. Since they are designed to kill things, it would be very strange if we could consume them without ill effect.

In addition to all this damage, subsidised chemical food production has done nothing to preserve the family farm, because very little money actually reaches the smaller farmer. As far back as 1960, it was found that 100 holdings of more than 1,000 acres each in East Anglia were collecting more subsidies than all the 7,000 farms in the whole of Carmarthenshire.

Today, the smallest 45 per cent get a mere three per cent of farm support. At the other end of the scale, the ten per cent largest farms

Cont. overleaf

Cont. from page 7

receive more than 50 per cent of all subsidies.

On top of all the direct ill-effects of the agribusiness regime, the lucrative business of subsidy farming has pushed the price of land way beyond the reach of young men and families who want to get into farming. As a result, whenever an elderly farmer retires, his land is more often than not gobbled up by one of the big players in the industry, adding another twist to the cycle of rural economic and social decline.

The worst example of how CAP subsidies and regulations prevent would-be new farmers getting a foot on the bottom rung of the ladder is the dairy quota system, under which no-one is allowed to produce milk unless they have a milk production quota. Since the amount of milk that can be produced is artificially restricted, the right to produce subsidised milk is a licence to print money. Hence quotas have become an item for speculation.

Crazy system

As a result, a young man wanting to become a dairy farmer now not only has to buy or rent the land, buy cattle, and find a house in a countryside where planning regulations are designed to discourage small farms. He must also pay about £1,000 per cow for his milk quota. Thus a bureaucrat's permission to produce milk will cost him more than the land or the cow with which he does it! And, incidentally, this crazy system puts 2.5p on the price of every pint of milk you buy.

The political motivation behind all this is ideological internationalism. In the words of the 1995 White Paper:

"We want to see an efficient, prosperous and outward-looking agricultural industry, able to operate in increasingly open world markets."

Translated into real English, this means that the politicians know that it is only a matter of time before GATT makes the EU's subsidy regime illegal, and their aim is to ensure that, by the time that happens, the farmland of lowland Britain has been completely converted into giant fields whose remaining fertility can be extracted with huge machines and the minimum of labour, so as to be able to compete on the open market with similar giant companies producing grain on the North American prairies.

The plan is to sell it to the growing markets of the Far East, and, of course, it was worked out some years ago, before those markets stopped growing. Now the price of grain looks set to slump, so the destruction of

Britain's sustainable, mixed agriculture has been for nothing. Our countryside has been sacrificed on the same free trade altar as our manufacturing base, and the last family farmer is due to follow the last miner into a living history museum.

Ugly though this picture is, it is quite innocent compared with the design of the handful of agro-chemical companies which already dominate the agricultural production of the Western world. Their post-war fortunes have been built by farmers on the pesticide/fertiliser treadmill, indeed it has been calculated that half of every increase in subsidy to 'farmers' slips straight through their fingers to pay the extra cost of chemical inputs.

Spurious justification

With rising public concern about pesticide residues, the role of the big feed companies' practice of making cattle feed from other cattle in the BSE crisis, and so on, the really big players in this field have had their PR departments dream up new 'reasons' to justify the damage their system has done.

The British Agrochemicals Association, for example, now produces a resource pack which is used in 20 per cent of UK primary schools. This explains how high-input chemical farming is necessary so that we can export cheap food to prevent starvation in the Third World. Complete with competitions to win trips to Tanzania, it is a classic example of how big business uses the rhetoric and imagery of compassion, multi-racialism and internationalist idealism as a cloak to cover its naked greed for profit at any price.

The same woolly liberalism is also being exploited to provide an excuse for what these multi-national giants are cooking up for the Third World directly. Faced with increasing consumer resistance to chemically-farmed food in the West, they see their next big profits coming from Asia, Latin America and Africa. And instead of pesticides, the vehicle this time will be genetically modified crops.

These are being engineered to be resistant to disease, and to give higher yields, and are hailed as the answer to all those famines which periodically fill our TV screens with distressing images of starving children. Say 'thank you' to those nice multi-nationals, and let them continue to flood Britain with chemicals. But don't make cynical comments about how those high yielding new wonder-plants need high inputs of expensive artificial fertiliser to do their stuff!

And don't tell the subsistence farmers of the Third World that the bumper crops of grain and rice which they will harvest after

their first year's trials of seed supplied by these philanthropic multi-nationals will be sterile. Most of all, don't tell them that cross-pollination between the genetically engineered plants and those of their old-fashioned crops will lead to many of the latter also producing sterile seed, thus forcing them to abandon the age-old practice of saving their own, and instead to buy fresh supplies of seed from Global Greed Inc. every year.

The world leader in this sinister genetic technology is Monsanto, an American-based company whose Chief Executive is one Robert Shapiro, and on whose Board of Directors sits Mickey Cantor, the former secretary of the US Commerce Department. Over the last few years, a huge buying spree has extended Monsanto's global reach to include the makers of Roundup, the world's best-selling herbicide; US grain giant Cargill's, Delkab Genetics Corp, which supplies 45 per cent of the corn-seed used in the entire USA; and the crop-breeding wing of Unilever, which it snapped up last July for £320 million.

Monsanto is the face of food production to come, and no regular *Spearhead* reader will need telling twice that, whoever owns them, it is inconceivable that a future nationalist government could leave British agriculture in the hands of such ruthless multi-national corporations.

By its very nature, our nationalism demands that we strive to make Britain as nearly self-sufficient in food as possible. And that self-sufficiency must not be measured purely in terms of the tonnage of food produced here, but on the extent to which it is grown without the aid of imported chemicals or genetically modified seed.

This requirement alone will make it vital that we get our farmers off the pesticide/fertiliser treadmill and move by stages to more sustainable, natural methods of pest control and production.

The way to reach other objectives

In addition, though, the same change is the only way to achieve other essential objectives: We are going to rebuild the rural economy, but can only do so by reversing the fifty-year trend of using more capital and less labour. We are going to make the independent family farm the economic, cultural and moral cornerstone of our national life. We are going to ensure that the food consumed by the people of Britain is not only adequate in terms of quantity, but is good in terms of quality as well — the days when fruit, milk and water contained carcinogenic chemical residues must be put behind us with all the other horrors of irresponsible liberalism. And we are going to restore to the British countryside the variety of scenery and wildlife which our grandparents took for granted, and which the greed and stupidity of the last fifty years have bulldozed into memory.

This is not a pipedream. It is not a Luddite

"We are going to make the independent family farm the economic, cultural and moral cornerstone of our national life"

vision whose realisation would involve food shortages or a return to low-wage stoop labour. True, it will need millions of hours of work and a nationwide mobilisation of manpower and resources, but Britain is not short of either; all she needs is the inspiration and the will to use them. Tractors and all the other machines which have been developed over the last fifty years will still have their place; in fact there will be even more of them than before, because a return to smaller farms and smaller fields will create a ready market for ultra-modern small machines.

But can we produce enough without all the chemicals? Yes, not least because the chief reason for the environmental catastrophe we see today is that our masters have spent fifty years subsidising over-production. London University's Wye College has made a detailed study of how much land Britain needs to cultivate to feed herself. The conclusion is remarkable: by the most pessimistic estimate, 2.5 million acres could be surplus to our food production needs by the year 2000, and the figure could be as high as 15 million acres.

Carefully phased switch

So we could easily afford the temporary drop in production which would occur during a carefully phased switch to low-input, organic production. Britain's problem is not to produce food in sufficient *quantities*, it is to produce food of decent *quality*, and to keep on doing so, year after year, without foreign imports.

Another valuable pointer to how this can be achieved is provided by the work of the Long Ashton Research Station. By reverting to 'old-fashioned' crop rotations and the other practices of good husbandry, the staff at Long Ashton have been able to abandon the use of insecticides altogether, and to cut the use of fungicides by two-thirds. Far from being the pest-ridden disaster which conventional 'wisdom' would predict, the resulting low-input wheat crops make more money than conventional ones grown next door, and, most remarkable of all, actually produced a higher yield in the dry year of 1995.

But how would the farmers themselves fare if such changes were introduced? The long-term aim of British nationalist agricultural policy must be to establish a farming industry healthy enough to stand on its own feet, with no more than restrictions on the import of inferior, chemically-grown food from abroad. But until that position is reached, we see nothing wrong in principle with subsidies.

The important question is *what* should be subsidised? As we have seen, there is no need to subsidise production, and we certainly have no wish to enrich further the agro-chemical companies. But it makes a lot of sense to subsidise quality, as opposed to quantity. Subsidies designed to encourage large-scale conversion to organic husbandry would, by their very nature, end up in the pockets of smaller farmers, rather than in the

bank balances of a few thousand grain barons and a handful of multi-national corporations.

It makes sense to subsidise farmers to use their land in ways which increase its fertility, rather than mining it for a quick profit. Stopping erosion, restoring the mixed farming methods which are the only way to put life back into the exhausted arable soils of the lowlands and reducing the numbers of animals over-grazing the uplands, recreating the varied pastures which fix natural nitrogen: these would be things *worth* paying farmers to do, because they would increase the treasure chest of our land's fertility, something for which our people might be eternally grateful if, as so many times before in our island story, we were forced to feed ourselves without possibility of help from abroad.

And it makes sense to subsidise the thousands of surviving family and small farms, and the thousands of new ones we wish to see established, because that is the only way to reverse the dangerous population imbalance caused by over-urbanisation and rural economic deprivation.


Of course, the changeover would make us some enemies. But our system would spell death to the power of the multi-nationals in Britain in any case, so having this extra bone to pick won't make any difference to our relationship with them.

What about the big landowners and the City investment firms, who have profited so handsomely from the system which we are going to scrap? Well, we're not going to take their land away from them, but the abolition of subsidies on production would, at a stroke, make investment in land unattractive for anyone except those wishing to farm it for themselves. So fifty years of increasing concentration of the ownership of land in the hands of fewer and fewer people would go sharply into reverse. It's simply a matter of market forces!

Arithmetic of democracy

That's all very well in theory, but could such policies cost us the support we need to get into a position to carry them out in the first place? No, and here's why. First, let's look at the arithmetic of democracy. The Country Landowners' Association, whose leaders would squeal blue murder at these proposals, has 50,000 members, many of whom, as a matter of fact, are small enough to benefit very substantially from the changes outlined here (as would the majority of the members of the farmers' unions). But the National Trust and Royal Society for the Protection of Birds, to name but two of the organisations filled with people who fume at every hedge destroyed by subsidised over-production, have 4,000,000 members. Which interest group would you rather have on our side come polling day?

The more historically-minded may, however, think a bit deeper than that. A



The farmer should be a defining factor in the future nationalist society in Britain

number of nationalist organisations during the last great crisis of capitalism received vital funding from big landowners, so they couldn't afford to offend them. Very true — then, but times have changed. The crucial point is that the big landowners didn't back the nationalists because they liked their policies. They supported them purely as the lesser of two evils, because the alternative was a takeover by Communists who would have stood them against a wall and blown their brains out — if they were lucky!

Since the red threat no longer exists, however, there is not the slightest possibility of the big landowners giving us the time of day, so there's nothing at all to be gained by shirking the radical proposals which are essential if we are to develop a coherent nationalist agricultural policy.

This set of proposals, once fully worked out, will give us a platform from which to appeal realistically to the thousands who either are, or would like to become, family farmers, and to the millions who hate the way in which our countryside has been despoiled and our food is being poisoned. It has the potential to harness the 'green' idealism of middle class youth, and to give a new vision to many of their working class contemporaries in the cities, by showing them that there is a way in which they could regain the personal stake in their motherland which their forebears lost generations ago. Let us ensure that when we talk of "taking our country back," these people know that we aren't just referring to repatriation.

The author runs a part-time organic smallholding. Additional research was done by members of the BNP's agricultural/environmental circle. Critical comment, particularly from readers with experience in farming and related industries, is very welcome, and should be sent to the author at PO Box 14, Welshpool, Powys, SY21 0WE.

FRANK KIMBAL-JOHNSON considers THE STATE WE'RE REALLY IN

IF THE approaching millennium has any significance at all for future historians, it will be as the high water mark of British decadence. For most of this century we have been living on the economic, territorial and cultural capital for which our ancestors laboured, fought, and sacrificed so much.

Like the profligate and degenerate off-spring of a once noble and powerful dynasty, our present politicians fight among themselves over dwindling assets and hollow titles, while the estate and its people go to ruin. Meanwhile, attracted by the stench of national decay, all the opportunists of the world converge to exploit the situation. What could never have been taken from us in open conflict is now won by infiltration, subversion and asset-stripping.

The four horsemen of the British apocalypse are now clearly revealed; they are:-

- **Balkanisation** into a number of patently non-viable and insignificant pseudo-national entities.
- **Euro-federalism**, whereby the UK is being absorbed into a synthetic European super-state.
- **Multi-racialism**, whereby the native British population is being mongrelised and dispossessed.
- **Internationalism**, which allows supra-national agencies and the international Money Power to override British interests for their own purposes.

Their shadows loom over every political debate, every election, and indeed every part of our national life. Their infantry is a rabble of self-serving politicians, media puppet-masters, pseudo-philanthropists, low-life thugs, and unscrupulous businessmen. Their advance is facilitated by a bogus political pluralism which fosters the delusion of democratic choice.

The main instruments employed to secure public compliance are news management, censorship, repressive legislation, 'Holocaust' guilt-peddling, 'extremist' scaremongering, and a constant diet of 'little Englander' defeatism. And to help the medicine go down, everything in the mass media and popular entertainment sphere is designed as an endless parable of political correctness and an inversion of normal values,

Not surprisingly, the public mood in recent years has become a compound of apathy and political cynicism. But when confronted with this, the Establishment's apologists promptly blame "factors beyond our control" — e.g. edicts from the Brussels bureaucrats to whom they have made us subservient, or 'international obligations' to which they have signed us up — always claiming that there is no viable alternative to the present regime. "Bad though things may be," they tell us, "the alternatives would be much worse."

Much the same conditions, tactics and arguments sustained a seemingly indivisible and invincible Soviet Union for 75 years before its ignominious collapse into economic and social chaos, and resurgent nationalism. Similarly, here in the West, the more acute observers are aware of an as yet unco-ordinated and slow-moving, but inexorable, resurgence of *realpolitik* based on race, homeland, kinship, indigenous culture and the sovereign nation-state.

Feeling these disturbing vibrations, this bankrupt and discredited regime is now engaged in a frantic rearguard action to stifle dissident voices and mount pre-emptive strikes against those it perceives as most likely to replace it. Hence there are increasingly repressive measures against all expressions of genuine patriotism. The infamous Public Order, Equal Opportunities and Race Relations legislation is applied with growing zeal and disregard for basic civil liberties. Leading patriots are targeted and demonised by the nauseatingly self-righteous mass media, with the victim denied any right of reply. The police look the other way when Rentamob and gangs of aliens intimidate and attack nationalists engaged in perfectly peaceful and legal campaigning.

Cowardice and courage

And while all this goes on, too many of those well placed to influence events remain 'closet patriots', waiting until it seems safe to come out, and meanwhile carefully distancing themselves from those overt campaigners with the courage of their convictions. "With you all the way in principle, old boy, but" is the nearest these camp-followers of national resurgence ever get to a battlecry. With friends like these, who needs enemies? Such people are due for sharp reminders that loyalty that costs nothing is worth nothing.

This is no time for ideological sophistry, worries about what the neighbours would think, or party-political quibbles; what is at stake is the very survival of our race and nation in a covetous and rapacious world. What we need are 'born-again Britons', with courage, dedication and evangelist fervour like that of the early Christians in the shadow of Imperial Rome, with its seemingly invincible authority and depraved 'entertainments' to keep the masses amused and diverted.

Such men and women will never be today's media darlings, but they will certainly be the heroes of a nobler tomorrow.

Each of us must choose between the cosy suburbs of decadence, and the bracing frontier of struggle towards a future for our children and grand-children. This is the choice which always separates the men from the boys.

“GET US OFF YOUR LOSERS’ LIST!”

American activist ELIZA TURNER tells the liberal media to stop lumping white women in with ‘minorities’

“The social services agency of Thistown announced yesterday that it is to improve its service for minorities, asylum-seekers, AIDS patients, gays and women.”

Isn’t this typical of the sort of ‘news item’ we often encounter in the media? Have you noticed how ‘women’ are often tacked — invariably at the end — onto a list of social misfits, losers and weirdos by liberal reporters? What’s going on? Why are women always lumped in with this line-up of society’s losers?

There’s a sociological term I learned in one of my college classes (taught by a typical leftist) called ‘co-option’. It’s a fancy way of saying ‘included’ or ‘taken over by’ a particular group so that they can use you to their advantage.

Webster’s dictionary defines co-opt as: “to choose or elect as a fellow member or colleague.” Women have been ‘co-opted’ by the corrupt liberal establishment in order to make a tenuous (and of course, politically advantageous) link between women and the queers, junkies, and others creep they happen to want to include in “THE LIST”). The media have ‘elected’ women to be the “fellow members and colleagues” of a group which usually features — in addition to immigrants — lawbreakers, homos, bums, and dysfunctional individuals from every walk of life. We woman have been ‘elected’ by the media to be members of certain groups without first taking *our* vote!

It’s a variation on the media ploy of always uttering the phrase “Blacks and Jews” in the same breath. If you say it often enough, like a mantra, your brainwashed audience will (supposedly) begin to actually believe there’s a real-world bond of brotherhood between Blacks and Jews. Say it with me now: “Blacks and Jews, Blacks and Jews, Blacks and Jews. Now say: “Homosexuals, Blacks, Jews, asylum-seekers, the homeless, the abused and women.”

Nothing in common

See how it works? If your ‘group’ has been declared by the media to be part of the line-up, it must be because you have a mysterious elemental bond, something “in common with” the other folks on the list. Well, as a white woman, I say that we haven’t — and I’m sick of being told we have.

As women, and most important, as *white* women engaged in a desperate battle for the future of our race, our nation, and our families, we cannot afford to be continually lumped in with the others on the liberal list. How low-down do our ‘fellow victims’ have to be before we protest? “..... rapists, murderers, child molesters, scumbags of the universe and ..oh yes....by the way women.”?

The media connection between white women and these societal horrors is as spurious as the Black/Jew connection. It’s a media invention, designed to create links where there are none — to forge bonds where none, in reality, exist. But why should we accept that we have something in common with a faggot dying of self-inflicted AIDS or an illegal alien who broke the law of entry into someone else’s country, simply because some media pundit tells us so?

Women = minorities? Well, for a start, let’s not forget that women are *not* a minority — we comprise more than 50% of the human race! Of course the ‘bond’ that we as women supposedly all have in common with the other groups on the list is ‘oppression’. We’re all part of the great oppressed. Well, perhaps if you’re a brain-dead hippie from the 1960s you really think John Lennon had a point when he wrote his song, “Woman Is The Nigger Of The World,” but luckily the rest of us have long since grown up and moved on from mush-headed, leftist rock ‘n roll propaganda.

But still the media tell the ‘sheeple’ where



White women are a minority, but not in the way the media use the term

the bonds of ‘commonality’ are. It’s as if they’re saying, “you and you and you have a common bond and a common enemy because we said so, get it? Got it? Good.” And, of course, the unspoken truth about these human laundry lists is that all of us: bums and gays and illegal aliens and dope-heads and *women* are being enlisted against the *white male* as the ultimate oppressor.

What we need to understand, as white women, is that we are being *used* as pawns in a dirty game. The callous, game-playing feminists like Gloria Steinam and Betty Friedan have been more than happy to see women lumped in with scumbags for the last 30 years. “Scumbags Of The World Unite!” is their battle cry. Feminist ‘leaders’ such as Steinam and Friedan perfected and refined the co-option game.

But don’t be fooled, white woman! Demand to be taken off their list! You’ll never gain any advantage from being included in their scam.

The only thing that you are ever going to get from a deal like this is *used* — by a sophisticated, slick, minority-fixated, white-hating machine. Then, you’ll be dumped with a resounding thud on the side of the road when this crew has had its media mileage out of you

Make no mistake: these media moguls do not ‘care’ about women. Women’s welfare is the last thing on their minds. If they truly cared, they’d be writing stories about the daily hell that white women have to deal with in today’s schools, workplaces and streets. The harrowing problems, trials and tribulations that white women experience on a daily basis, as they attempt to deal with the frightening racial situation in their daily lives, are hushed up, swept under the rug, unreported by this ‘caring’ media gang, who love us so much.

Reality

As we all know, if you approach one of these ‘loving’ media types with a real-world story dealing with white woman’s plight in the multi-cultural society of today, (stories which would tear your heart out and rival any minority-loving ‘human interest’ story ever printed by the typical establishment rag), you’ll be called ‘racist’ and summarily tossed out of the editor’s office.

The incredibly harmful psychological impact of women being lumped in with the losers of the world is enormous. Our very gender has been made synonymous with ‘asylum-seeker’ or ‘junkie’ or ‘minority’. Woman? She’s just like an illegal alien, didn’t you know? Woman? She’s the same as the homeless or the drug addict. Woman means ‘loser’. It means ‘victim’.

What ‘woman’ certainly isn’t synonymous with these days are words like ‘proud’ and ‘white’! The constant pounding of this false concept into our minds leads to only one horrible and sad conclusion: the demoralisation and degradation of white womanhood.

The next time you see one of these disgusting lists, sit down, get out pen and paper (or typewriter or word processor), and write a letter to the editor of your local newspaper. Protest! State clearly: I’m sick of seeing women included in these grotesque line-ups as if we are bags of dirty laundry, co-opted and *used* by a callous liberal establishment for its own self-serving, New World Order plans. State plainly: White women are not losers, illegals or perverts. We are not professional victims. We are sick of being identified as a group with crud. Make it clear: We want it stopped! And we want it stopped now! We, as free, proud White women of the world are here to say: Take us off your liberal Losers’ List!

The BNP film unit's BARNABY ORE sets down his camera to urge us: DON'T FORGET OUR ROOTS

AS AN East London activist, and having spent a great deal of time talking in-depth to locals while researching for the BNP's East London council elections video, I believe that I know more than most about the trends in nationalism, both past and present, in the area. I am also on good terms with a number of highly active members of the *Front National's* youth wing, the *FNJ*, and am in touch with members of the German *DVU*. While I am in general agreement with the message of articles such as those by Mark Deavin and Paul Tinsley in recent *Spearheads*, my experiences and contacts abroad make me slightly wary lest the enthusiasm for the theory of 'post-modernist' nationalism outstrips the willingness of 'Middle Britain' to get involved with us, and leads to neglect of the working class and youth bases on which we have come as far as we have.

We did not win our seat in Millwall by wearing suits, being articulate and well-versed in the national policies of the BNP. We won because we were exactly the same people as the voters; we came from the same areas, we spoke in the same way, and had endured the same hardships. As one woman said to me during the last council elections:-

"We voted for you (the BNP), not those ponce's in suits who haven't a clue what it's like on a council estate, because they don't live in the real world. But we knew exactly who you were; you were just like us."

As Steve Edwards pointed out in his excellent article on community politics, local work, local issues, and local contacts are the way to win. It was community-based politics that won Millwall for the BNP. We produced leaflets that showed the people of Tower Hamlets that we cared about their problems, and were prepared to spell out in clear letters what we would do about their main problem — immigration. Community-based politics is a tried, tested, and successful, form of campaigning; it is the road along which we will progress, and it is fundamentally about knowing what the problems are, wanting to help, and finding ways to do so.

The *DVU* victory was based on its appeal to hard-pressed working class families, and their teenage children. In East Germany, where immigration is still not a big issue, they didn't dwell on it. Instead, they attacked the mainstream politicians, showing that they had real solutions, and would put German workers first. The *DVU* showed that it understood the problems, just as the BNP did in East London in the 'Rights for Whites' campaign of 1990-

93. The only difference is that Gerhard Frey replaced canvassers and leafleting teams with well-informed and relevant mail-outs, aimed particularly at the unemployed working classes and first-time voters.

In both Germany and Britain, the nationalist votes did not come from the type of 'Middle Citizen' whom the BNP appears increasingly geared to wooing. They were hard pressed working class folk who identified with a party which understood their problems and offered them solutions. Pointing this out has nothing to do with 'class warfare', it is just a political fact. Nor should it be taken as an attack on Middle Britons themselves. Our appearance at the Countryside march was an excellent activity, helping to break down media stereotypes of the BNP left, right and centre. I didn't mind for a minute leaving my bomber jacket in the car.

But, although it is vital to broaden our appeal and to improve our image, the most important thing we can do is *win*. And we will win in places like Millwall. And we will win again for the reasons we won the first time around: the BNP had a high profile; everybody knew what we were about as we fought a high profile campaign. The BNP was obvious and in everybody's minds. We most certainly did not at the time have the squeaky-clean voter-friendly image we are striving for now.

Skilful

Clearly it is an image which we need to cultivate, but we should not forget that the skilful management of the media by Michael Newland, and our now obvious support, changed the media's view of us from "the Nazi/fascist BNP" into "the extremist BNP" after Derek Beakon had won his seat.

Let's not kid ourselves that white people living in the poorest borough in Britain are too fussed about image. Many were probably just as impressed by the fact that we were there on the streets, ready, quite literally, to defend rights for whites.

This is not to argue for deliberate confrontation with the far left, for to do so would only help them to pull back their support which is otherwise fading away. But we must be seen by the inhabitants of places like East London, Bradford, Oldham and so on as being there as and when we are needed.

At present, that means being there, working among them with leaflets, petitions, sorting out problems, and so on. In the future, the signs are that the growing racial tensions in the area, and elsewhere in Britain too, will one day boil over into open conflict, as in Ulster in 1969. If that happens, we will not gain support because we wear nice suits and ties, or ability to form eloquent sentences (though, of course, our media spokesmen must do so), but because we muck in alongside the ordinary people and help them out wherever we can. We must be seen to be devoted to our people — representing their interests, speaking up for them against anti-British councils, co-ordinating and protecting them — at all times, not just at elections.

"Where have you been for the last four years?" is not a question I want to hear again!

LABOUR COUNCILLORS GIVE THEMSELVES A 400% PAY RISE

East London Advertiser 18/6/98

RENTS SET TO SOAR BY £16 PER WEEK

East London Advertiser 25/6/98

WHILE LOCALS FACE CUTS

AFTER 29 years, our social club for the physically disabled has been forced to close as the London Borough of Tower Hamlets is withdrawing services to all clubs and associations in the area. The club is one of the few still left in the area to have survived in greatest need in a climate of extreme financial constraints. Our club will cease from July 1, but we are told that "transport facilities have already been withdrawn from a number of similar organisations from 1st April 1998".

SOMALIS TO GET £10,000

SOMALI groups are to get a £10,000 boost from Tower Hamlets Council. The money will be spent on projects helping the London Black community. Health Action project and a Somalis Education and Cultural Project. Councillor Dennis Twomey said: "Tower Hamlets strives to provide services for all members of the community".

Lib-Dem leader, James Ludlow said the council was not giving the Somalis enough.

He added: "London is not a great deal in

relation to what they give other community groups".

And, look whose side the Lib-Dems are on!

THERE'S STILL MONEY FOR LABOUR'S PET CAUSES

ISLAND NEIGHBOURHOOD PROJECT

The Island Neighbourhood Project works in the Millwall ward of the Isle of Dogs, in the London Borough of Tower Hamlets, an area of high income, mainly white families, lack of English housing, lack of schools, poor language difficulties and high racial tension.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT WORKER

(female/sylehit speaking)

Starting salary will be £17,051 inclusive.

AREN'T YOU JUST SICK OF IT ALL?

WE ARE — THAT'S WHY WE'RE DEMANDING

RIGHTS FOR WHITES!

Help us to put things right again. Write to:- The Secretary PO. Box 300, Emma Street, Hackney, London E2 7BZ p.p.b NP. Box 300, London E2 7BZ

Just one of several new BNP leaflets which are aimed very much at the party's urban working class roots

And, provided we don't, we will win in these areas, and the media will be unable to conceal from the rest of the population of our land the extent of the problems created by mass immigration, and how they have created a credible nationalist party which could speak for them as well.

This is why victory when it comes will only be realised by a unified approach by both the

'suited' and the 'booted'. The *DVU* have a massive and well-established youth wing which they can and do call out for protection and to create an impression of strength. The same is true in France. While the *FN* have skilfully managed the media and play a great hand of political poker, their journey into the mainstream has not been made by foregoing the support of tough and dedicated lads in boots, but by ensuring that they keep a low profile whenever necessary.

Rallies and rock concerts

The *FNJ* have their own rallies and even their own rock concerts, as do the *DVU*, so when they ask their "lads in boots" to remove their bomber jackets, wear longer jeans, and even grow their hair out for certain activities, they are happy to do so. Thus the *FN* have been able to break through into the big time while keeping the lads in boots — whom some people in the *BNP* think we could do without — on board, ready to fight off red mobs as they have often been called upon to do. If you don't believe my personal observations on this subject, recall the words of Gilbert Monchanin in *Spearhead* no. 342:

"Thousands upon thousands of young people, coming from every part of France and also other parts of Europe, flooded as never before to a function that used to be the main 'family gathering' of the country.... The main characteristic of the crowd, however, was the large proportion of youth of the most radical category. Celtic crosses were displayed on caps, T-shirts, as tattoos, flying on banners, and as necklaces. Many of the boys and girls were skinheads. The majority were from the *FN*'s youth organisation, the *FNJ* (*Front National de la Jeunesse*)."

Perhaps the *FN* marshals its troops better than the *BNP* does, they are able to explain to their lads, without being condescending or insulting, that that patch is unsuitable, or that that tattoo should be covered up. This is harder for us, partly because of Britain's Public Order Laws, and partly because the *FNJ* can see victory in their grasp — knowing for sure that they are part of something really big, they are to an extent happier to compromise and to accept orders than a lot of our "lads in boots." This is said without malice, as I have been a skinhead since I was 14.

But another reason the *FN* finds it easier to integrate young people is precisely the fact that it has its own youth wing. The *FNJ* is a semi-autonomous organisation, with its own full-time organisers, and accounts for almost a third of the total membership of the *FN*.

Mark Deavin was right to point out in his article "A Light From The Shadows," (*Spearhead* no. 352), the White Resistance music scene plays a major role in mobilising youngsters in support of the nationalist parties in both France and, particularly, Germany.

But he failed to mention that this music is played by, and was created by, skinheads. Yes, the excellent ballads of Frank Rennicke are well liked, but it is bands like Endstufe, Freikorps, Storkraft and AEG which have so many young Germans captivated.

These bands have their counterparts in the UK: Razor's Edge, Squadron, Brutal Attack, Avalon, English Rose, Celtic Warrior and so on. We also have capable balladiers, such as Stigger. If it hadn't been for the enormous disruption caused by the Charlie Sargent C18 scam, this scene would be far bigger, bringing, as it already does in Germany, vast numbers of previously apolitical youths into contact with our ideas.

Anyone who thinks that this scene is important primarily on account of the money it can generate has missed the point completely; what really matters is its power to catch the souls of thousands, and turn them into racial nationalists. This is why it is so good to see more and more bands helping the *BNP*, and why we need to find ways in which the *BNP* can in turn help to expand the music scene.

So while we should continue with recent attempts to 'break out' into Middle Britain, we must remember that there are horses for

courses. The suited, as opposed to the booted, approach, will only work with some people. An immaculate, Oxbridge-accented, unprotected *BN* sales team couldn't possibly be accepted, or even work, in an East London market or canvass on the Samuda Estate on the Isle of Dogs, just as a team of working class lads like me wouldn't win the party any support in the conservative shires.

Yes, there is most certainly a need to improve our image, and there are a huge number of recruits who can be won all over the country as a result. But we must not leave behind those who have voted for us in the past and who are the roots from which future electoral success will grow. While we put our toes in the waters of Middle Britain, we need to make sure that we reserve our greatest efforts to deepening our already existing pools of support in the remaining white working class areas of the inner cities, and extending them to the nearby suburbs to which the bulk of their 'white flight' has escaped. Support in Taunton, Basingstoke and Oakham is essential, but it will be easier to mobilise once we've won in Tower Hamlets, Barking and Oldham.

THANKS. The British National Party wishes to thank *English Rose* and *Avalon* for their very generous donations of supplies of their latest CDs, which will raise many hundreds of pounds for the *BNP* Euro-election Fund.

These will be sold through various *BNP* units, but readers who are not in touch with a unit, or who would like information about the wide range of White Resistance CDs now available, can contact the bands direct. Please write to: *English Rose*, PO Box 19, Coalville, LE67 1DS, and to *Avalon*, c/o BCM 6826, London, WC1N 3XX.

THREE GREAT WHITE PRIDE MUSIC VIDEOS

Tyr Services are proud to sell three of the all-time best White Pride concert videos.

NO REMORSE LIVE IN SWEDEN. 1996
Paul Burnley and *No Remorse* rock Sweden in a gig that both the authorities and the red mob tried to stop. Filmed with two Hi8 cameras and professionally mixed. With top Swedish bands *Spandau* and *Volund Smed*.

SKREWDRIVER LIVE IN COTTBUS
Ian Stuart at his best, performing to a crowd of more than 1,000. Support by top German bands *Storkraft* and *Radikal*

NORTHLANDS 1995 Lively concert in Stockholm, featuring US favourites *Bound For Glory*, along with Sweden's own White Power rockers, *Midgards Soner* and *Division S*. Filmed with three cameras and well mixed. Not to be missed!

All our videos come in a video case complete with a full colour cover, and cost just £15 each incl. p&p. Send cheques POs payable to 'Tyr Services' to: BCM Tyr, London, WC1N 3XX. Send SAE for free catalogue.



THE DAWN WIND

(THE FIFTEENTH CENTURY)

RUDYARD KIPLING



*At two o'clock in the morning, if you open your window and listen,
You will hear the feet of the Wind that is going to call the sun.
And the trees in the shadow rustle and the trees in the moonlight glisten,
And though it is deep, dark night, you feel that the night is done.*

*So do the cows in the field. They graze for an hour and lie down,
Dozing and chewing the cud; or a bird in the ivy wakes,
Chirrup one note and is still, and the restless Wind strays on,
Fidgeting far down the road, till, softly, the darkness breaks.*



Back comes the wind full strength with a blow like an angel's wing.

Gentle but waking the world, as he shouts: "The Sun! The Sun!"

And the light floods over the fields and the birds begin to sing,

And the Wind dies down in the grass. It is day and his work is done.

So when the world is asleep, and there seems no hope of her waking

Out of some long, bad dream that makes her mutter and moan,

Suddenly, all men arise to the noise of fetters breaking,

And every one smiles at his neighbour and tells him his soul is his own!

HOW TO WASTE TIME AND INFLUENCE NO-ONE

IT IS twenty five years since Britain joined the then Common Market. From the very beginning, there has always been organised opposition accompanying our involvement with this body.

Alongside traditional nationalist parties with fully comprehensive manifestos — in recent years principally the British National Party — various single-issue pressure groups have also evolved to counter the encroachments of the EEC, EC and now EU. These groups can boast many high-profile public figures as supporters and often enjoy the sympathy of certain sections of the press.

But when one looks back over the intervening years since public dissatisfaction was first made clear to the government, there has not been the slightest slow-down in governmental efforts to submerge Britain in an institution which its people clearly do not want.

The pattern is repeated with regard to immigration, capital punishment, repeal of sodomy laws and a host of other issues which deeply affect ordinary British people. Every time the politicians do the wrong thing, widespread public concern is quickly represented by vocal protest groups, which are then met with all too predictable government indifference.

Lamentable failure

Decades of protestation at Britain's decline by well intentioned patriotic folk, have failed lamentably to halt or even slow down the spiralling descent. Faced with this fact, why do they bother?

The tactic of challenging government through the medium of the protest group is based upon the misplaced assumption that the government must be implementing a deeply unpopular policy simply because it fails to appreciate the depth of feeling opposing it; if only we can impress upon the government just how unpopular such a policy is with the British people, argue the protesters, then the government will surely reverse their decision? And if they still continue to push onward regardless, then it must be because we protesters are not trying hard enough.

What the good folk of the various protest movements fail to understand is that the 'British' governments of today have a political agenda to follow which is simply not subject to the approval of the masses. Of course, Messrs. Blair and Hague are aware of what the British people would and would not wish to see happen to their nation, but a combination of arrogant doctrinaire adherence to liberal internationalism, and subservience to those mysterious but powerful forces which pervade all western democracies, ensure that party politicians of whatever hue maintain a direction wholly at odds with what is best for our country.

MATTHEW ARMITAGE exposes the futility of single-issue protest

Of course, it is possible to give examples of where political agitation from pressure groups have seemingly resulted in government relaxing or reversing policies — such as the homosexual lobby's rolling campaign to reduce and reduce the age of consent — but it would be a mistake to interpret these as a governmental climbdown. Rather, they are merely examples of where the viewpoint of an increasingly liberal establishment finally converges with that of an ideological bedfellow.

But when protest turns to *opposing* the internationalist/liberal agenda, the demands of pressure groups are greeted with dismissive indifference.

Sincere but naive

Until recently, this writer was involved with the Anti-Maastricht Alliance, an umbrella group for a number of anti-EU organisations. While most of its activists are sincere patriots, this naive tendency to believe that governments can be pressured into change on this issue is always in evidence.

They are acutely aware of the past treachery of successive governments in its dealings over Europe, and yet their

rallies and meetings are addressed by representatives of those very same parties which have dragged our country into the gutter.

Bill Cash, the conservative MP, is a firm favourite amongst the Euro-sceptic protest movement, regularly appearing at functions to decry our involvement with Europe. Unsurprisingly, along with other luminaries like Lord Tebbit and Lord Shore, he urges the supporters of groups such as the AMA to campaign through the present system by bringing pressure to bear on their respective parties — the term 'safety-valve' politician is one which appears frequently in the pages of *Spearhead*, usually in relation to the immigration debate, and is no less appropriate when employed to describe Tory or Labour Euro-sceptics. The need to keep disgruntled patriots on the 'straight and narrow', instead of experimenting with 'xenophobic' parties of the 'far-right' is an obvious concern for the likes of Bill Cash.

Not taken in

Nevertheless, despite attempts by establishment stooges to encourage the belief that government really does have a friendly ear, there are many individuals within the protest movement who have not been taken in by the assurances of maverick MPs.

Some of the more astute observers have suspected that the degree of parliamentary unanimity over Europe is indicative of a conspiracy which transcends petty party politics. In conversation with AMA members it becomes clear that some are as convinced as ourselves that the entire body politic of Britain is rotten. Furthermore, although their publications sport 'anti-racist' slogans, many of their readers without doubt hold opinions on the multiracial society which dovetail comfortably with our own.

Yet these people still persist in fighting a battle to persuade an establishment that they know will never be sympathetic to their case. Why is this?

The answer can be found when one

considers what options are left open to them when the realisation eventually dawns that attempts to persuade internationalists to think as nationalists is inevitably doomed to failure.

The only alternative course of action is to seek to *replace* the present system with one which does work in the British national interest. We in the BNP need no reminding that this is by far the the most arduous path to take — but it is the only one which has any chance of success.

Disillusioned Tories who scurry to protest groups do so because the struggle to overturn a corrupt regime instead of merely poking it in the ribs requires a

Norman Tebbit — one of the 'safety valve' politicians whose reassurances keep the Tory right from giving up on the system



degree of courage and perseverance they simply do not possess. Anyone who opts to challenge the existing order, as opposed to just complaining about it, is destined for years in the political wilderness. For those individuals impatient for change, it is oh so much easier to convince themselves that working alongside such important people as Bill Cash and Lord Tebbit is by far the best way forward. Just don't ask them to list all their previous successes though!

Since many of the best elements within British society choose to agitate for a better Britain outside the nationalist movement (which nowadays is essentially the BNP), where does this leave us? This huge block of potential support

cannot simply be brushed aside and dismissed because of their current misconceptions regarding the extra-parliamentary nationalist right. They may have opted for the path of least resistance, but at least they are on the path, which is more than can be said for nearly everybody else — and we need them.

Come over

In my criticism of the Complaint Brigade I may be guilty of generalising. Alongside those who are of no use to nationalism, there surely must be many who would be prepared to come over to us if they were to be politically cast adrift.

The Anti Euro-federalist movement comprises of many ideologically disparate groups, representing political opinion ranging from the Trades Union left — the Campaign Against Euro-Federalism — through to 'Frog'- and 'Kraut'-bashing retired major types; while in between lie the ordinary Tory defectors in whom we are most interested. It certainly does not require lengthy analysis to see that this is a highly unstable coalition, vulnerable to internal fracturing along ideological lines when, as is bound to happen sooner or later, the irresistible urge to incorporate other policies becomes too great and the consensus is broken.

In the past, the Conservative Party simply had to make ostensibly 'right-wing' noises about immigration or Europe to gather in lost members of the flock. But there is a limit to how often they can cry wolf like this; they are going to need something more substantial than mere rhetoric in the future.

So when — and not if — the ranks of what can be described as the "Tory Party in exile" find themselves immersed in faction-fighting within their respective organisations, it is essential that the BNP

is well placed to pick over the rubble and ensure that we and not the Tories are the beneficiaries of the fallout from the Eurosceptic family squabbling.

Nationalists must prepare the ground now to attract the curiosity of those who do muster the courage to leave behind the impotent protest movement and start looking for pastures new. The excellent publication *British Countryman* is just the kind of literature which soothes the fears of the average Eurosceptic campaigner; it presents nationalism in language they understand and empathise with. 'Respectable' people who presently swell the ranks of anti-Europe groups are more likely to lose their nervousness if they see that the British National Party is nothing more than an expression of their own fears and concern for the future.

No need to wait

It is essential that activists maintain this important work. Successful inroads made in the battle for the hearts and minds of Middle Britons, coupled with the widespread disillusionment with a liberal Tory Party, will hopefully make it unnecessary for us to wait for the numerous patriotic protest groups to descend into chaos before we recruit their one-time members.

Since the last election, we have already seen a trickle of such people becoming involved with the BNP. The massive publicity which will accompany our campaign in next year's Euro-election will produce more. The next Millwall-style success will have an even bigger impact. And, at some stage, the example set by those first courageous defectors to us may inspire an exodus of the rest on such a scale that it will leave the leaders of these grouplets with nothing left to do but stack away the chairs.

£50,000 EURO-ELECTION FUND —

£14,614 RAISED SO FAR

With holiday arrangements forcing this issue to go to press one week earlier than usual, fresh donations to the BNP's national Euro-election fund totalled £1,070 in the last three weeks. In addition, a further £1,021 was collected by local units, including £400 in Wales and £250 in Somerset. A grand total of £14,614 has now been raised towards the vital target of £50,000.

We're getting there, but there's still a long way to go. Have you really given what you can afford? Is your unit really pulling its weight? If not, please take action today to put things right. You won't be alone in making the extra effort.

Please make cheques/PO's payable to 'British National Party' and send c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW. In order to save on administration time and costs, donations below £10 will not be acknowledged unless accompanied by an SAE. Fighting next year's Euro-elections will be another huge step forward for the BNP. But we need your support to take it. Please act today!

“SOME HAVE LEARNED THE REASON WHY”

STEVEN SCHWAMENFELD examines the qualities that gave rise to the British martial tradition.

Reprinted with acknowledgements to *American Renaissance*, PO Box 527, Oakton, VA 22124, USA

WHAT accounts for the extraordinary expansion of British power in the 18th and 19th centuries? Most ‘respectable’ academics offer economic reasons for British success against European powers, and take the view that Western technological superiority accounts for colonial expansion. My study of the British army of the Napoleonic era suggests a different explanation: the moral power of the British soldier, as manifested in his devotion to his regiment, to his nation and — when he was fighting colonial wars — to his race. Patriotic conviction together with contempt for foreigners made the average British soldier the best in the world.

The British army under the command of the Duke of Wellington won 15 general engagements between 1808 and 1815 without suffering a single defeat. Its victories shattered the myth of French invincibility and inspired the resistance of the other European nations.

The most sincere assessment of British arms came from the enemy. The French marshal Nicolas Soult described the victors after his defeat at Albuhera in 1811 with rueful sarcasm:

“[T]here is no beating these troops in spite of their generals. I always thought they were bad soldiers; now I am sure of it. I had turned their right, pierced their center and everywhere victory was mine, but they did not know how to run.”

Another French observer, General Chambray, praised the British infantry for its “orderliness, impetus and resolution to fight with the bayonet.”

A Prussian observer left this description of Wellington’s army:

“For a battle, there is not perhaps in Europe an army equal to the British, that is to say none whose tuition, discipline, and whole military tendency is so purely and exclusively calculated to giving battle. The British soldier is vigorous, well-fed, by nature highly brave and intrepid,

trained to the most rigorous discipline and admirably well-armed. The infantry resist the attack of cavalry with great confidence, and when taken in the flank or rear, British troops are less disconcerted than any other European Army.”

Although it is the Duke of Wellington’s forces that are of particular interest to us here, the British infantry had long been redoubtable, and was noted for its prowess well before, and long after, the Iron Duke’s day. A Spanish chronicler, writing in 1486, gave us this description of a force of English bowmen:

“This cavalier was from the island of England and brought with him a train of his vassals, men who had been hardened in certain civil wars which had raged in their country. . . . They were withal of great pride, but it was not like our own

inflammable Spanish pride. . . . Their pride was silent and contumelious. Though from a remote and somewhat barbarous island, they yet believed themselves the most perfect men on earth. . . . With all this, it must be said of them that they were marvellous good men in the field, dexterous archers and powerful with the battleaxe. In their great pride and self-will, they always sought to press in their advantage and take the post of danger. . . . They did not rush forward fiercely, or make a brilliant onset, like the Moorish and Spanish troops, but went into the fight deliberately and persisted obstinately and were slow to find out when they were beaten.”

Likewise, in 1854, two years after Wellington’s death, the armies he had commanded were still an astonishing force. The battle of Alma, during the Crimean

For hundreds of years, the bravery of the British soldier has awed the world.

Right: *The Fight for the Standard*. Sergeant Charles Ewart of the Scots Greys captures an eagle standard from a French cavalryman on the bloody field of Waterloo.

Ansdell’s painting lay rolled up in a warehouse for more than 25 years, until Scottish Office bureaucrats gave in to demands that it be put on display once again. It now hangs in the Great Hall at Edinburgh Castle



War, gave rise to this firsthand account:-

"..... the Grenadiers and Coldstreamers (and Scots Guards), though under a deadly fire, formed into line with as much precision and lack of hurry as if they had been on the parade ground, and began deliberately to advance up the glacis toward the Great Redoubt. It was an unforgettable sight. The men marched as if they were taking part in a review. Storm after storm of bullets, grape, shrapnel, and round shot tore through them, man after man fell, but the pace never altered, the line closed in and continued, 'ceremoniously and with dignity,' as an eyewitness wrote, on its way. . . . The Guards marched into the Great Redoubt, and there was a shout of triumph so loud that William Howard Russell [correspondent for *The Times*] heard it on the opposite bank — the battle of the Alma had been won.

"A French officer turned to Colonel Evelyn Wood.... 'Our men could not have done it,' he said."

How were the British capable of such feats of arms? It was partially the result of intense training. The great French military theorist Baron de Jomini believed only British troops were adequately trained to fight in a thin, two-deep battle line. It required a maximum of discipline to manoeuvre in this unwieldy formation; less trained troops required a deeper formation to maintain cohesion.

National force

In addition, British troops displayed a tremendous corporate loyalty, not only to their regiments but to their nation. Almost alone among the armies of the 17th and 18th centuries, the British army possessed no permanent mercenary units. It was always a national force. As the historian of 18th century warfare, Christopher Duffy, writes: "the most pronounced moral traits of the English were violence and patriotism.... All classes were united in their contempt for foreigners." It was this ferocious patriotism that helped breed, in Samuel Johnson's words, "a peasantry of heroes."

The uniquely nationalist sentiment of the English soldier dates back long into the past. To quote historian Linda Colley: "a popular sense of Englishness...considerably predates the French Revolution." An Italian visitor to England in 1548 described his hosts thus:-

"the English are commonly destitute of good breeding, and are despisers of foreigners, since they esteem him a wretched being and but half a man who may be born elsewhere than in Britain."

This was true not only of the aristocracy but of the common people as well. It was

especially true of bowmen. They were of peasant stock but, in the words of the 15th century jurist, Sir John Fortescue, who fought at their side, they were the men whom "the might of the realm of England standeth upon."

The English archers of the Middle Ages left no memoirs about their contempt for foreigners, but their successors in Wellington's time did.

(The author here quotes contemporary writings by Private William Wheeler of the 51st Light Infantry, and Sgt. John Cooper of the 7th Fusiliers, but the restrictions imposed by present-day Race Laws make it unwise for this magazine to repeat their observations — Ed.)

Sgt. William Surtees of the 95 Rifles described the Spanish officer corps thus:-

"In short, they had all the pride, arrogance, and self-sufficiency of the best officers in the world, with the very least of all pretensions to have an high opinion of themselves; it is true they were not all alike, but the majority of them were the most haughty, and at the same time most contemptible creatures in the shape of officers, that I ever beheld."

The British had an entirely different view of their own superiors. Rifleman John Harris of the 95th wrote this of his Brigadier, William Beresford:-

"He was equal to his business, too, I would say; and he amongst others of our generals, often made me think that the French army had nothing to show in the shape of officers who could at all compare to ours. There was a noble bearing in our leaders, which they, on the French side (as far as I was capable of observing) had not; . . . They are a strange set, the English! and so determined and unconquerable, that they will have their way if they can. Indeed, it requires one who has authority in his face, as well as at his back, to make them respect and obey him."

Harris frankly believed that the British aristocracy produced the

finest leaders of men in the world.

Britain was very much a class society, but in battle the shared characteristics of courage, stoicism and perseverance united all. Thomas Howell, of the 71st Highlanders, was a man of some gentility, who joined the army as a private as a result of financial disaster. He had a difficult time adjusting to life among men of a lower class. However, he left this moving account of his rough-hewn comrades during his first battle with the French:-

"In our first charge I felt my mind waver, a breathless sensation came over me. The silence was appalling. I looked alongst the line. It was enough to assure me. The steady, determined scowl of my companions assured my heart and gave me determination. How unlike the noisy advance of the French!"

A few such isolated comments apart, however, the British soldiers in the Peninsular War, had a far higher regard for their enemy than for their allies. They regarded the French as brave, if erratic, soldiers and generally chivalrous.

Overall, though, Wellington's men had a stoic pride that, in their minds, set them apart from men of any other nationality. This unique psychological toughness will be examined in greater detail next issue.

IMPERIUM BOOKS

Tired of the old propaganda of the old order? Then it's time to read material which is not as readily available as it should be. You need to get access to the true facts of WWII. David Irving is one of Britain's most controversial authors, and probably the world's leading revisionist writer.

Imperium Books is proud to present the following Hardback books, which are AUTOGRAPHED by David Irving:

David Irving's latest book *Nuremberg. The last battle* £20.00

Apocalypse 1945. The destruction of Dresden £15

Churchill's War Vol. i. The Struggle for Power £17.50

To purchase any of the above, please make all cheques/POs payable to 'Imperium Books'. For further list of books please send two First class stamps (or two IRC's for overseas readers) to Imperium Books, Dept. SH, PO Box 1021, Coventry, England, CV1 5ZX.

SPECIAL OFFER: Post and packing free to Spearhead readers.

'RACISM'

NOTHING is more satisfying than turning the tables on one's opponents, and that is what I propose to do in this article, by taking a closer look at their support for so-called 'anti-racism'.

The ideology of anti-racism is now deeply embedded in the thinking (using the term in the loosest possible sense) of all the Establishment parties. This in itself should raise suspicion among the intelligent and open-minded, for the world is not run by idealists and romantics, and never has been. In fact, more of the worst and most criminal elements have greater power today than at any previous time in the history of civilisation.

In America especially, politics attracts men and women who are in reality the leading criminals of the day, but who are clever enough to use the

of 'anti-racism' is very far from being idealistic. The logical, and intended, conclusion of 'anti-racism' is the *genocide* of every distinct racial grouping, and the substitution of a melange world of near-slaves; it is in reality the supreme and most pernicious form of racial hatred. And this racism, naturally, is upheld and encouraged by international capitalism, which likes nothing better than a cheap, atomised and compliant workforce, with no pride in itself and no sense of folk culture or identity as the basis from which to resist exploitation.

A McDonalds diner shines above the shanties, neon lighting and petrol-ooze. Could be Manila, Birmingham, Mexico City or Shanghai. "Have a nice day in the eternal darkness, sir!"



The politics racket: the bottom line for the crooks in charge is money and power

'politics racket' as the quickest, safest and easiest way of enriching themselves. The sleaze we see in government is just the tip of the iceberg, with many more major crimes and evasions hidden away until much later. The recent news snippet that historians are unable to account former President Lyndon Johnson's multi-million dollar fortune is typical.

So we sense a contradiction here. How can a supposedly idealistic ideology be espoused by people whose bottom line is money and power? Because, in truth, the real motive force

While the internationalists are as happy to exploit non-whites as they are us, it is white people who are the chief targets of the liberal media's racial contempt. The soap opera *Eastenders* produced a particularly outrageous episode of racial hatred directed against the Irish, in which the inhabitants of an Irish village were depicted as stupid, feckless and boorish. Reserved for special attack was the Irish male, condemned for being lustful and anti-feminist. Cardinal sins! They should all have been homosexuals, transvestites or, at the

Let's stop the haters, says IAN BUCKLEY

very least, 'new men'.

A fascinating detail of the programme was the open contempt heaped by the scriptwriters on large families — another black mark against the 'primitive' Irish. When will they catch up and have abortions with equal alacrity as a cup of tea?

The whole episode seemed to me to be closely related to the Hollywood vendetta, pursued in dozens of films like *Deliverance*, against 'Hillbillies', who are apparently the only minority it's permissible to maim and murder on screen with relish and enjoyment — excepting Arabs in gung-ho movies about the Gulf War, of course.

Race hate

Zionist groups such as Poale Zion often come up with scare stories about bad-taste computer games supposedly found on the Internet, even though, on further examination, these invariably turn out to be figments of their confused and over-active imaginations. But I have a real example of a racist computer game for you. It's called *Redneck Rampage*. The object of this 'game' is to kill as many Hillbillies (so named, incidentally, because so many of these mountain-folk, descended largely from Ulster Presbyterian refugees from poverty and Anglican religious persecution, were Christened 'William'), by blasting them with sawn-off shotguns or braining them with crowbars. You can even blow up a Hillbilly with sticks of dynamite as he sits on an outside lavatory. Tee hee!

Or how about *Wolfenstein 3D*, a computer game which invites you to massacre German soldiers? A good player can amass a big pile of dead Germans, with scattered helmets, blood and blond hair all over the computer screen. If that isn't race hatred, what is?

One of the errors of the nationalist movement has been that we have fought according to our opponents' agendas, as expected of us, rather than using their own arguments against them.

It is instructive to note, for example, that the *police* have been responsible for by far the largest percentage of killings and injuries suffered by immigrants in Europe. Those groups quaintly termed 'extremist' or 'neo-Nazi' hardly register on the scale, accounting for little more than a few broken windows and beery brawls, with the more serious incidents more often than not attributable to *agents provocateurs* working for the security services.

Without consent

So the 'extremists' have been more moderate than the authorities, in the face of an unnatural experiment being carried out without consent in circumstances of increasing uncertainty and economic decline. The real threat to both black and white comes not from racial nationalism but from a demented liberalism, which conceives the world as an immense frontierless sweatshop, peopled by dumbed-down masses of indeterminable stock.

It is liberalism and finance capitalism which are the major forces sustaining racial hatred and ethnocide, just as they once supported the slave trade and the destruction of traditional communities from one end of the globe to the other.

Those who oppose this, whether white nationalists, patriotic Indian Hindus, or members of the Nation of Islam, are demonised by the mass media as 'haters', but by opposing multiracialism and New World Order globalisation, we are in fact the last protectors of human dignity, freedom and identity.

Hypocrisy

American revisionist Michael Hoffman summed up perfectly the hypocrisy of the racist 'anti-racists' when he wrote the following words to one David Goldman of 'HateWatch', an organisation dedicated to exposing and denouncing so-called 'hate sites' on the Internet:-

"When you have interviewed the homicidal murderers among the Jewish settlers of occupied Palestine, such as Rabbi Moshe Levinger, and the Talmudists among the Orthodox who believe, with Moses Maimonides, that only Jews

are human beings; and after you have interviewed terrorist Irving Ruben of the JDL and terrorist Mordechai Levy of the JDO, and after you have interviewed the leading bull dyke 'feminists' on their hatred of men; and after you have interviewed the top Hollywood directors on their hatred of Christianity, then perhaps I will accept your invitation and debate you for fifteen minutes on your audio program.

"After which, having lost that debate, you will undoubtedly extend to me the *60 Minutes* treatment accorded to Ernst Zundel, and delete my best retorts.

"When there is a group that opposed hatred of Germans, hatred of 'rednecks', hatred of men and hatred of Palestinians (along with hatred of Jewish people or black people just because they are Jewish or black), I would be interested in supporting such an independent, iconoclastic group.

"Based on your track record,

however, 'HateWatch' is a proponent of hate, part and parcel of the same senile, ADL-style process of stigmata, whereby anyone who defies the Zionist agenda becomes demonised as a 'hater'. It's a clever way to destroy an opponent — render him a witch, rather than a dissident."

In a way, it is natural and inevitable that a crumbling Establishment should try to demonise its opponents as 'racists' and 'haters'. But, in truth, the terms apply to them, so we should take every opportunity to fling these buzzwords back in their faces.

Many more such propaganda gems, as well as virtual museums of the persecution and Holocausts of the Palestinians and the East European victims of Bolshevism, can be found on Mike Hoffman's website: www.hoffman-info.com. Readers still trapped in the Paper Age should send £5 for a copy of his Revisionist History magazine and tape/book list to: Independent History & Research, PO Box 849, Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816, USA.

Have you joined the Welling Club?

The Welling Club is a special group which exists to help raise money for the British National Party. Membership is open to all persons, whether or not BNP members, willing to contribute £20 each month to the party's national funds.

Welling Club members are sent regular bulletins informing them of the progress in achieving various important BNP targets which is being made with the help of their donations. They are also entitled to attend, free of charge, an annual club dinner addressed by the BNP Chairman.

Those wishing to join the Welling Club should apply, sending their initial £20 contribution (made out to the 'British National Party'), to: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent, DA16 3DW

Remember the BNP in your will!

We wish all our readers a long life, but none of us can stay on this earth for ever. Have you ever thought about how you can help the cause when you are gone?

The British National Party has benefitted immensely from a few of its supporters remembering the party in their wills. In most cases the money has been invested so as to guarantee the party a regular income, rather than being spent all in one go.

Why not become one of these benefactors yourself? Whatever you do, make sure you do not die intestate and so let your estate go to prop up the anti-British Establishment!

It is natural that if you have a family you should wish to provide for them, but nevertheless you may well like to set aside something for the good cause as well. If, on the other hand, you have no next of kin, there is all the more reason for ensuring that the Cause gets something by which to remember you.

If you require any advice on this matter, please ring or write in and we will be pleased to help.



The Editor
 'Spearhead'
 c/o PO Box 117,
 Welling,
 Kent DA16 3DW

SIR: May I ask your readers to help a courageous German patriot? Gunther Deckert has now served over two years in prison for the 'crime' of 'over-enthusiastically' translating the American revisionist Fred Leuchter. Gunter Deckert, who was the guest speaker at two BNP annual rallies, is now imprisoned at Bruchsal, near Karlsruhe. The reason is quite clear: he has dared to challenge the official version of history, which is the superstructure that supports the entire ideology of liberalism and globalism.

As German economic growth falters, and unemployment rises, the regime there becomes ever more repressive. 2,000 people are now in prison for political offences — most of which are no more than Orwellian 'thought crimes'. As with the Inquisition of old, those selected for persecution come from a vast range of backgrounds, from distinguished university professors, such as Udo Walendy, to Turkish stall-holders accused of selling copies of *Mein Kampf*.

Throughout this year, Gunther has been subject to various restrictions, which have waxed and waned according to the insecurity of the government, his own legal initiatives, and the degree of public and international pressure for him to be treated more fairly. At the time of writing, he has been forbidden to receive eight publications, including the official, perfectly legal, newspaper of his party, the National Democratic Party. Bizarrely enough, he is now not even allowed to read the *Deckert-Depesche* — the newsletter produced about his plight by his supporters, which circulates in Germany, Austria, France, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Canada, Costa Rica, Bolivia, Australia, the USA, South Africa, Great Britain, Belgium, and even Iran.

In their attempt to break his spirit, the authorities are trying to break Gunther Deckert's contact with the outside world. He has again been forbidden to receive any letter which is not written in German. All mail to him in English or French is confiscated by the guards.

As Gunther commented in a recent letter to me: "The main problem you are confronted with when in prison is a psychological and intellectual one. You must keep master of the special situation you are in. As long as you are not

forgotten, as long as your family — very, very important — and your comrades and friends stick by you, you will win; at least you will master the conditions, strange as they are."

Readers angered by the treatment of this outstanding patriot, fighter for historical truth, and staunch opponent of the German establishment's European Empire project, should write on his behalf to:-

The Ambassador, German Embassy, 23 Belgrave Square, London SW1 8PX. Tel. 0171 824 1337. Fax 0171 824 1449.

German Press Agency, 30 Old Queen Street, London SW1H 9HP.

German Consulate, 16 Eglington Crescent, Edinburgh, EH12 5DG. Tel. 0131 337 2323.

For a sample copy of the *Deckert-Depesche*, send four IRCs to: Postfach 10 11 17, D-69451, Weinheim, Germany.

Letters to Gunther Deckert (in German only) can be sent to Bruchsal State Prison, Schonbornstrasse 32, D-76646, Bruchsal, Germany.

IAN BUCKLEY
 Merseyside

SIR: I read the article by *Britannicus* on Tolkien with interest. *The Lord of the Rings* is one of those books I've been meaning to read for a very long time. It might interest *Britannicus* to know that, back in 1956, *Candour* listed Tolkien as a supporter of the League of Empire Loyalists.

MARK TRANTER
 London

SIR: As even our local papers admit, the racial situation in Oldham is not improving. White people are still being attacked and, to my knowledge, no local MP or other politician has condemned what is going on.

In addition, I know of several local people who have applied for jobs at a new Tesco's, to be opened soon in Featherstall Road, Oldham. They have been well qualified for the positions, but have all been told that there are no jobs for them. It appears that the positions are being filled by Bangladeshis.

Mr. BLAKE
 Oldham, Lancs.

SIR: I wonder if any other readers watched the ITV drama *Kavanagh Q.C.*, on Friday, 10th July? Remarkably, it showed the far left for what they are: evil thugs addicted to violence and prepared to go to any lengths to deny freedom of assembly and speech to British nationalists.

A multi-racial gang was shown assaulting the police and throwing missiles at a BNP-style bookshop, defended by a body of Union Jack-waving patriots. In the ensuing scuffle, a young nationalist was beaten and stabbed to death by a large mob of ever-

so-brave lefties. The patriots were portrayed as ordinary working class lads, while the reds were presented as typical student drop-outs.

More remarkable still, the two individuals arrested for the brutal murder were a rich Jewish girl, one Miss Jacobs, and a marxist extremist called Holland. At the first whiff of prosecution, Miss Jacobs blamed Holland for the murder and ran to Daddy for comfort.

What on earth is going on? What has happened to make Carlton Television — the people responsible for Roger Cook's failed but illegal anti-BNP entrapment scam — produce such a pro-British nationalist story for one of its most popular series? Could it have anything to do with the brutal mugging suffered last year by Carlton boss Michael Green?

ROBERT WESTLAKE
 Organiser, Cornwall BNP

SIR: Despite what happened, allegedly, to the cat, it can still pay to be curious. Following the Stephen Lawrence Inquiry, I wrote to the Chairman, Sir William Macpherson, asking him how he justified the description of the murder as 'racial' when not a scrap of evidence had been presented to support such emotive verbiage. I further suggested that the media should be restrained from using similar language, as it surely prejudices the outcome of any legal proceedings in such cases.

The Inquiry's secretary, in his courteous reply, drew my attention to the Association of Chief Police Officers' (ACPO) definition of a racially motivated incident:-

"Any incident in which it appears to the reporting or investigating officer that the complaint involves an element of racial motivation; or any incident which includes an allegation of racial motivation made by any person."

Note that the allegation does not have to be made from the witness box, nor is the 'any person' liable to cross examination. In other words, call it a race crime, and it is! Perhaps it's time that the BNP took the lead in encouraging white people who suffer in any way at the hands of non-white criminals to describe their problems as racially motivated. For that matter, according to the ACPO, we ourselves can make any such incident 'racial' simply by describing it as such.

Incidentally, the powerful ACPO is totally unaccountable to either the police authorities or the Government. Its definitions and policies are decided in private by a handful of unknown individuals over whom we the people have no control. This is 'democracy'?

COLIN VERNON
 West Sussex

BOOKS

BNP BOOK SERVICE (PHONE 0374 454893)
PO Box 117, WELLING, KENT DA16 3DW

AGRICOLA and GERMANIA (Tacitus). £6.99. The great Roman historian describes our Celtic and German ancestors in about 100 AD. Two books in one volume. This ed. 1970, 175pp.

AMERICA'S DECLINE: THE EDUCATION OF A CONSERVATIVE (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.50. A collection of articles and reviews on various aspects of the collapse of modern civilisation. 1981, 375pp.

...AND THE TRUTH SHALL SET YOU FREE (David Icke) £13.50. A very individual and controversial study of the global financial and political elite. 1995. 474pp.

ANGLO-SAXONS, THE (ed Campbell) £15.00. A handsome, well-illustrated book covering the history of England and the culture of its people from the 4th to 11th century. 1982 rep. 1991, 272pp

APOCALYPSE 1945: THE DESTRUCTION OF DRESDEN (David Irving) £15.00. An updated version the 1963 account of this real "war crime" — the Allied terror bombing of an undefended city, packed with refugees fleeing from rape and murder at the hands of the Red Army. 1995, xiv, 315pp.

BABYLONIAN WOE, THE (David Astle) £17.00. A study of the origin of certain financial practices and their effects on the events of ancient history, written in the light of the present day. 1975, 250pp.

BEGINNINGS OF ENGLISH HISTORY, THE (Dorothy Whitelock) £6.99. Describes Anglo-Saxon culture and organisation and relations with the Celts between the leaving of the Romans and the coming of the Normans, 1952, this ed. 1991, 256pp.

BELL CURVE (Richard J. Herrnstein & Charles Murray) £25.00. This important book, which has aroused enormous controversy in the USA, deals with differences in intellectual capacity among people and groups. 1994, xxvi, 845pp.

BRITAIN'S FUTURE: THE MEANING OF THE MAASTRICHT TREATY (Prof. Stephen Bush) £1.25. A strong plea for British independence from EC bureaucracy. 1990 (rep. 1993), 24pp.

BRITISH ISLES, THE (Hugh Kearney) £7.95. Subtitled 'A history of four nations' this is an outline of British history by an academic historian stressing the role of the non-English parts of the British Isles. 1989, 324pp.

CALL IT CONSPIRACY (Larry Abraham) £14.00. An updating of the classic *None Dare Call It Conspiracy*. Shows how socialism is a product of the wealthy, and how money manipulation has disastrous political consequences. Author is an American geopolitical analyst. 1985, xvii, 325pp.

CAMP OF THE SAINTS, THE (Jean Raspail) £5.00. A welcome reissue of this sensational novel about the destruction of the white race by Asian immigrant invasion. Once on sale in ordinary bookshops, but now only available from the nationalist underground. 1973, 311pp.

CASE FOR DAVID IRVING, THE (Nigel Jackson) £12.50. A study of the organisations and individuals seeking to suppress the historian David Irving's freedom to travel and communicate and of the smear campaign against him. The book relates largely to Mr. Irving's efforts to get into Australia. 1994, xi, 208pp.

CASTLE OF LIES: Why Britain must get out of Europe (Christopher Booker and Richard North) £8.95. Devastating effects of European bureaucracy on our daily lives and how the truth is covered up. 1996, xii, 244pp.

CELTIC MYTHS AND LEGENDS (D.W. Rolleston) £1.99. The myths of the founders of Britain. First published early this century, reprinted 1994. 457pp.

CENSORED HISTORY (Eric Butler) £4.00. An examination of some of the facts of recent history that have not found their way into textbooks or newspapers. A welcome return to print. 1974, 48pp.

CHURCHILL'S GRAND ALLIANCE (John Charmley)

Prices given in each case do not include postal charges, which should be estimated by calculating one eighth the total value of the order, except in the case of overseas orders, where those about to order should first enquire.

Minimum postage for UK orders is 50p. Payment, in sterling only, should be enclosed with all orders. All cheques or postal orders should be made out to the "BNP Book

Service" only, and should not be included in remittances sent to associated bodies for other items. Orders are likely to be dealt with more quickly if 'BNP Book Service' is marked on the envelope. Please send all orders to our mail order address: PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

New titles are marked with stars *


Please note this fact, which is of great importance: the books sold through the BNP Book Service are written by many different kinds of authors holding a number of different political viewpoints, very few of them being in any way connected with the British National Party. The party's Book Service distributes these books because it is felt that they fill important gaps in public information and understanding of vital national and international issues. This does not mean that all of what is printed in these books should necessarily be taken as representative of the policies of the British National Party. We reserve the right to differ with some of the authors on some parts of their writings.

£20.00 A study of the Anglo-American relationship 1940-57 showing the disastrous consequences of Churchill's faith in US friendship. 1995. xv, 427pp.

CHURCHILL'S WAR (David Irving) £16.95. The highly controversial story of Britain's WW II leaders. Believers in the 'orthodox' version of modern history will not like this book, as it describes its central character as the man who ruined Britain and the British Empire in his insane vendetta against Germany. 1987, 591pp.

CHURCHILL: THE END OF GLORY (John Charmley) £30.00. This massive work follows in the footsteps of David Irving in his *Churchill's War* in its demolition of the myth of Churchill as a great national leader. The author is less scathing in his treatment of his subject than is Irving, even stating that Churchill was a 'great man'. A valuable new contribution to revisionist history. 1993, 742pp.

COLLAPSE OF BRITISH POWER, THE (Correlli Barnett) £10.99. A welcome reissue of a vitally important book first published in 1972. Shows how liberalism and lack of political realism brought about Britain's 20th century decline in the political, industrial and military fields. A classic work by a mainstream historian 643pp.



One of the best exposures of how the EU is costing us a fortune — and the loss of our basic freedoms

CONSPIRACY OR DEGENERACY? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. Text of a lecture by the author, a brilliant classical scholar, to New England rally for God, Family and Country 1966. 76pp.

CONTROVERSY OF ZION, THE (Douglas Reed) £17.75. A best seller in nationalist circles ever since its publication. A study of Jewish-Gentile relations since biblical times, packed with little known and long suppressed facts. 1979, 580pp.

CRIMES AND MERCIES (James Bacque) £14.50. The shocking treatment of German civilians under the Allied occupation, 1945-1950. 1997, 288pp.

DEADLIER THAN THE H-BOMB (Leonard Young) £2.00. Important work on the money power and its threat to the freedom of mankind. The author was a distinguished RAF officer and active nationalist. 1956, rep. 1992, 72pp.

DISCRIMINATE OR BE DAMNED! (John Fairbanks Kerr) £8.25. An Australian author describes how anti-discrimination legislation in Australia, the UK and the US has resulted in absurdities and injustices. 1995, vii, 126pp.

DISPOSSESSED MAJORITY, THE (Wilmot Robertson) £15.50. This book has attracted worldwide attention, dealing as it does with racial problems in America and not just simply the black-white situation. 2nd edition 1981, 613pp.

EUROPE'S FULL CIRCLE: CORPORATE ELITES AND THE NEW FASCISM (Rodney Atkinson) £7.95. Controversial view of the EU, arguing that it is fascist and corporatist in inspiration. Discusses the Bilderberg Group, the CIA and internationalists such as Arnold Tynbee and Joseph Retinger. 1996, 149pp.

FABLE OF THE DUCKS AND THE HENS £5.00. A full colour cartoon story of how a hard-working society of gullible ducks is subverted and dispossessed by the crafty hens. A fable for all ages. 1996, 21pp.

FORCED WAR, THE (David L. Hoggan) £21.00. Described by Harry Elmer Barnes as "...the first thorough study of responsibility for the causes of the Second World War...the definitive revisionist work on the subject." 1989, 732pp.

FORGED WAR CRIMES MALIGN THE GERMAN NATION (Udo Walendy) £6.66. A study of the photographic forgeries used to denigrate Germany. 2nd ed. 1989, 80pp.

FROM ROME TO MAASTRICHT (Brian Burkitt, Mark Baimbridge and Stephen Reed) £1.50. A reappraisal of Britain's membership of the European Community. 1992, 69pp.

GLOBAL TYRANNY... STEP BY STEP (William F. Jasper) £12.50. How the UN is being developed as an omnipotent global government, suppressing national identities and freedom. 1992 xvii, 350pp.

HEREDITY & HUMANITY (Roger Pearson) £9.65. Cover race, eugenics and modern science. A scholarly but eminently readable criticism of egalitarianism by the holder of a Ph.D. in Anthropology. 1996. 162pp.

HIDDEN MENACE TO WORLD PEACE (James Gibb Stuart) £10.00. A well-known advocate of monetary reform deals also with secret politics, media censorship and the movement towards a 'new world order'. 1993, 233pp.

HITLER'S WAR (David Irving) £25.00. Revised and abridged version of *The War Path: Hitler's Germany 1933-39 and Hitler's War* (1977 ed.). 1991, VI, 857pp.

HOAX OF THE TWENTIETH CENTURY, THE (Prof. A.R. Butz) £5.95. A scientist applies clinical methods to an investigation of the 'Holocaust' and dissects a number of popular myths. 2nd ed. 1977, 315pp.

HOLOCAUST DENIAL: NEW NAZI LIE OR NEW INQUISITION? (Alexander Baron) £8.50. Defends the rights of revisionists and exposes the lies of those seeking to suppress free enquiry on the subject. 1994, 179pp.

IDEOLOGY OF OBSESSION (David Baker) £35.

(Contd. overleaf)

Study of the life and thought of A.K. Chesterton, one of the major figures in British nationalism from the '30s to the '70s. Not a hymn of praise, but generally fair. 1996, xiii, 250pp.

IMPERIUM (Ulrich Varange) £15.00. One of the most important nationalist philosophical books of the post-war period. The author rejects Marx, Freud and other 'culture distorters' and demolishes the whole ideology of 'liberalism.' Originally published 1948, 625pp.

IS THERE INTELLIGENT LIFE ON EARTH? (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. An entertaining survey of modern fallacies and their charlatan promoters. 1983, 94pp.

JAILED IN DEMOCRATIC GERMANY (Hans Schmidt) £12.50. The ordeal of a US citizen who was jailed in Germany for a revisionist article written and published in the US. Germany is still a totalitarian state! 1997, 490pp.

JEWISH HISTORY, JEWISH RELIGION (Israel Shahak) £11.99. An absolutely vital book by an heroic Israeli professor. This detailed examination of the deeply anti-Gentile teachings of much of Judaism is a real eye-opener. Buy it!

LAST SECRET, THE (Nicholas Bethell) £7.99. The disgraceful story of how the anti-Communist Cossacks were forcibly handed over for slaughter by the Soviets between 1944 and 1947.

LEMMING FOLK, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £7.95. A witty and factual examination of current attitudes and intellectual fashions that are threatening our future. 1980, 246pp.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES (Alexander Baron) £4.99. An exposure of the lies and crimes of the *Searchlight* 'mole' Ray Hill and a demolition of his ridiculous book *The Other Face of Terror*. 1994, 128pp.

MARCO POLO REPORT (Institute of Historical Review) £13.00. The extraordinary story of how international pressure groups compelled a popular Japanese magazine to close after it published an article on revisionism. 1995, 30 A4pp.

MATTER OF LIFE OR DEBT, A (Eric de Mare) £4.75. A distinguished architectural historian examines the flaws in our financial and banking system and offers a remedy based on the ideas of C.H. Douglas. 1983, 140pp.

MONEY BOMB, THE (James Gibb Stuart) £4.25. Discusses the failure of our debt-based financial system and describes its role in creating inflation. 1983, 158pp.

NAKED CAPITALIST, THE (W. Cleon Skousen) £4.80. A study of the political power and ambitions of America's and the world's financial capitalists and of their link-up with communism. 1970, 144pp.

NAMELESS WAR, THE (Capt. A.H.M. Ramsay) £8.00. An outline of the secret history of the events leading up to the Second World War, including information on previous upheavals. The author had the honour of being imprisoned without trial for four years during World War II, despite his status as a member of parliament. Originally published 1952, 128pp.


NATURAL LAW OF RACE RELATIONS (Laszlo F. Thomay) £7.50. An examination of racial tensions in a number of areas, showing that they are based not on hate or bigotry but on natural, universal tendencies. 1993, 145pp.

NEW UNHAPPY LORDS, THE (A.K. Chesterton) £6.00. An exposure of the financial power that seeks to dragoon mankind into a global police state. 1972, 288pp.

NOT GUILTY AT NUREMBERG: THE GERMAN DEFENCE CASE (Carlos Porter) £3.00. Re-examination of the evidence presented at the Nuremberg Tribunal showing clearly the unsatisfactory nature of the proceedings. 2nd ed. 1998, 48pp.

NUREMBERG: THE LAST BATTLE (David Irving) £20.00. An exposure of the hypocrisy and criminality of the Nuremberg war-crimes trials. Uses unpublished diaries and documents. 377pp.

ORIGINS OF THE ENGLISH NATION, THE (H. M. Chadwick) £16. The origins of the Anglo-Saxons, Germans, Jutes and Danes, with maps showing the ancient homelands in Europe and Britain.



1907, rep. 1983, 233pp

PHILOSOPHY OF NATIONISM, THE (C. C. Josey) £10. Eminent scholar argues that civilisation is based on ethnically homogeneous nations. 1923, rep. 1983, 227pp.

POPULISM AND ELITISM (Prof. Revilo P. Oliver) £4.00. A study of the purpose and function of political power and the elements which wield it in the present century. 1982, 101pp.

RACE (J.R. Baker) £25.00 hardback. The author, a professional zoologist for 50 years, provides an objective and scholarly account of what race means and how the concept has affected human thinking. A specialist book, but one of great importance. 1974, 625pp.

RACE, INTELLIGENCE AND EDUCATION 50p. Produced by a group of nationalist teachers in reply to an NUT race-mixing booklet. Packed with facts and cogent argument. 1979, 20 A4 pages.

RACE AND REALITY (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A companion volume and supplement to *Race and Reason*, showing how the truth about the race issue has been suppressed by interested parties. 1967 (rep. 1977), 192pp.

RACE AND REASON (Carlton Putnam) £4.00. A plain, unemotional account of the race issue that has been an invaluable contribution to the debate for many years. 1961 (rep. 1977), 125pp.

RACES OF BRITAIN, THE (John Beddoe) £17.00. A reprint of a scholarly work on the Celts, Anglo-Saxons, Vikings and Normans, with information on related peoples of Europe. 1885 rep 1983, vi, 273pp.

RACE PROBLEMS AND HUMAN PROGRESS (W.C. George) £4.00. Shows how the racial egalitarian dogma is flawed despite the influential forces promoting it. 1967, 80pp.

RECONSTRUCTION TRILOGY, THE (Thomas Dixon) £12.00. A reprint of *The Leopard's Spots*, *The Klansman* and *The Traitor*, three great novels dealing with the 'Reconstruction' of the American South after the Civil War as seen from the Southern Whites' point of view. Dixon's novels inspired the epic D.W. Griffith film *Birth of a Nation*, the race mixers' least favourite picture. 1901-1907, rep. 1994, xx, 550pp.

RESTORATION OF PROPERTY, THE (Hilaire Belloc). £3.50. Reprint of the 1936 classic. trenchant criticism of both capitalism and communism. 1984, 78pp.

ROTEN HEART OF EUROPE, THE (Bernard Connolly) £17.50. A former official of the European Commission exposes the lies and deceit involved in the establishment of the ERM and the dangers involved in European monetary union and European federalism. 1995, xviii, 427pp.

SEARCHLIGHT ON A SEARCHLIAR (Alexander Baron) £2.99. A detailed, factual and annotated destruction of the pretensions of Gerry Gable, self-styled 'anti-fascist' researcher and editor of *Searchlight* magazine. 1993, 36pp. 2 copies left.

SELF-DETERMINATION? THE QUESTION ULSTER MUST ANSWER (Richard Cameron) £5.99. The author's solution of self-determination for Ulster under the Crown may be contentious, but the book makes plain the neglect and mismanagement to which the province has been subjected by

direct rule. 1992, 192pp.

SOME TO MECCA TURN TO PRAY (Mervyn Hiskett) £12.95. An examination of Islamic values and tradition with important sections on the relations between Moslems and host societies and Islam and Zionism. 1991, 357pp.

SPEARHEAD BINDER £7.60. Made to hold 12 copies of *Spearhead* magazine. Keep your valuable collection of back-numbers in perfect condition and ready for handy reference.

STALIN'S WAR (Ernst Topich) £13.00. A revisionist view of the origins of World War II by an Austrian professor of philosophy. Stalin incited World War Two, hoping to seize Western Europe in the ensuing chaos. 1987, 152pp.

SURVIVAL OF BRITAIN, THE (Bryan B. Monahan) £7.80. A series of articles from the *Social Creditor* dealing with political and economic threats to Britain's survival and offering solutions to the problem of inflation. 1971, viii, 124pp.

THERE IS AN ALTERNATIVE (Brian Burkitt and others) £4.50. A cost benefit analysis of the UK's membership of the EU and a description of the various alternatives to membership. 1996, 111pp.

THIS AGE OF CONFLICT (Ivor Benson) £3.20. An exposure of the illegitimate power structures that exist in today's world. 1987, 61pp.

THUS SPAKE ZARATHUSTRA (Friedrich Nietzsche) £6.99. The doctrine of racial progress and the development of the Superman. This ed. 1969, 343pp.

TO RESTRAIN THE RED HORSE: THE URGENT NEED FOR RADICAL ECONOMIC REFORM (Alan D. Armstrong). £11.95. A businessman discusses the origin of our money system, its social and ecological consequences and proposals for its reform. 1996, 137pp.

TRAP, THE (Sir James Goldsmith) £7.99. Enigmatic businessman defends the concept of the nation state and points out the perils of free trade, particularly from the far East. 1994, 216pp.

TREASON AT MAASTRICHT (Rodney Atkinson & Norris McWhirter) £3.50. A complete and detailed exposure of the illegal and unconstitutional action of the Government in signing the Treaty of Maastricht. 1994, 123pp.

TRUTH OUT OF AFRICA (Ivor Benson) £4.40. Describes the effects of the 'winds of change' in Africa and the agencies responsible for the plight of that continent today. 3rd ed. 1995, xv, 92pp.

VENTILATIONS (Wilmot Robertson) £4.50. The sequel to the same author's widely acclaimed *The Dispossessed Majority*. Revised edition 1982, 113pp.

VICTIM OF THE HOLOCAUST (Hans Peter Rullman) £3.50. The story of the framing of John Demjanjuk. 1987, 78pp.

WALL STREET AND THE BOLSHEVICK REVOLUTION (Anthony C. Sutton) £6.80. The links between Wall Street finance and the Russian Revolution are proved beyond all question by an established scholar. 1974, 228pp.

WEALTH, VIRTUAL WEALTH AND DEBT (Frederick Soddy) £10.00. The author, a Nobel prize-winner in chemistry, points the way to a satisfactory and beneficial financial system. A long-established classic. 1926, rep. 1983, 320pp.

WITNESS TO HISTORY (Michael Walsh) £3.50. Large 64-page magazine format. Stunning compilation of quotes, mainly from Allied sources, which gives a very different view of WW2. 1996.

ZIONIST CONNECTION, THE (Alfred M. Lilienthal) £19.00. The second edition of a sensational exposure by a non-Zionist Jew of Zionist power politics. A real eye-opener! 1982, 904pp.

ZIONIST FACTOR, THE (Ivor Benson) £10.40. New and much improved edition of a book first published in 1986. A study of 20th century history, covering such events as the Bolshevik Revolution, the origins of WW2, the creation of Israel and the relationship between communism and capitalism. 1992, iii, 205pp.

ZIONIST TERROR NETWORK, THE (Institute of Historical Review) £2.00. A 12 page report on the murderous activities in the US of the Zionists in the Jewish Defence League.

PUBLICITY MATERIAL

Except where otherwise stated, the items listed below should be ordered from PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW.

BNP PUBLICATIONS

A New Way Forward

A summary of the main political objectives of the British National Party. 83p post-free.

Fight Back!

British National Party manifesto for the 1992 election. Policies are exactly as outlined in *A New Way Forward* (above) but with different title, cover design and introduction. 83p post-free.

Where We Stand

An abridged statement of BNP policies. Standard information brochure sent to enquirers. 33p post-free.

No to Maastricht! No to Europe!

Booklet outlining the British National Party case against the EC and in particular against the Maastricht Treaty. Produced by BNP Devon Branch in collaboration with party headquarters. 64p post-free.

The Enemy Within

Expose the role of the BBC as a weapon of mass brainwashing. The booklet comprises the text of an official complaint by the party to the Broadcasting Complaints Commission. 56p post-free.

BNP Activists' Handbook

This is the publication that so many have been waiting for. The handbook is a comprehensive instruction course in all the essential techniques needed in promoting and building the British National Party at local branch level, starting from scratch when the activist is entirely alone. This booklet is a mine of information that all activists, old and new, will find valuable in the quest to make their activities more efficient and effective. It is a 'must' for everyone who wants to be more than just an armchair nationalist. The Handbook is illustrated and runs to 71 pages. £2.00 post-free.

BNP Propaganda handbook

Spreading the Word is a handbook on propaganda designed to supplement the *Activists' Handbook*. Illustrated, *Spreading the Word* runs to 60 pages and contains five sections:- (1) General Principles of Propaganda; (2) Recruitment; (3) Canvassing for Elections; (4) Speaking to the Media; and (5) Speaking from the platform and presentation of Party meetings. Special attention is also given to dealing with enemy smears — valuable for this alone. £2.00 post-free.

BADGES & MERCHANDISE

BNP Badges

British National Party badges with logo in red, white and blue. £1.60 post-free.

Union Jack lapel badges

£1.60 each post-free. £1.38 each post-free for 10 or more. Wear and sell anywhere.

VITAL!
Britain Reborn is the British National Party's 1997 general election manifesto. In 64 large pages, it examines all the problems facing our country today, and sets out the BNP policies which will meet the challenges ahead. Essential reading! Price £3.55 incl. p&p. Don't miss it — order today!



BNP Key Rings

Key rings with attachment bearing BNP logo in red, white and blue. £3.86 post-free.

BNP Cloth Logo

BNP logo in cloth, also in red, white and blue. Ideal for sowing onto sports bags, jackets, etc. £1.60 post-free.

BNP LEAFLETS

HIT TORY BLAIR WHERE IT REALLY HURTS!

After successful trials in East London, the BNP's *Stop Labour's attack on the welfare state* leaflet has now gone national. Blair has thrown the working class on the global economy scrapheap — let's make him pay! £6 per 1,000 plus £5.15 p&p, or just £5.80 postage for 2,000.

Striking two-sided leaflet. Red, white and blue colour scheme lends visual impact to an excellent leaflet. All the best slogans are used including 'Rebuild Britain Now!' which features sections on 'Health and Welfare', 'A Decent Education', 'Say No to Europe!' and 'Rebuild Our Industry'. Other slogans used include 'Time For A New Party'; 'Join Us!' and 'Make Britain Safe!' which focuses on crime-ridden Britain and identifies our solutions, including the rebuilding of a sense of national community — possible only in an all-white Britain! This is the fourth 'Spearhead' leaflet that the party used in the run up to the General Election and is just as useful now as it was under the Tories. £9.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.

Join us! Highly successful recruiting leaflet designed for general recruitment campaigns. Gives brief account of best known BNP policies. A summons to battle!

Defend Britain's Fishermen! Attacks the betrayal of the fishing industry. Especially suitable for giving out in fishing areas.

Hang Child Murderers! Calls for the death penalty for the killing of little children — especially topical following cases such as the murder of little Daniel Handley by homosexual perverts.

Anti-Tory leaflet. Aimed especially at disillusioned Tory voters and activists, explaining why their party lost the election and must now be abandoned. To be followed by an anti-Labour equivalent as soon as Blair's electoral honeymoon is over!

These leaflets cost £5.50 per 1,000, with postage charges of £5.15 for 1,000 and £5.80 for 2,000.

Regular leafletting is the key to increased recruitment. These are first class propaganda weapons, use them to build the British Resistance!

BNP VIDEO RECORDINGS

Rally November '97. Video of the second BNP rally of the year. Excellent regional reports, plus stirring speeches from Richard Edmonds, Nick Griffin and John Tyndall.


Rally January '97. Video of the postponed 1996 BNP Annual Rally, held in London on January 25th 1997. Speeches by Dr. Donald Stevens, Nick Griffin, Richard Edmonds, John Tyndall and overseas guest Jose Ramos.

Rally '95. Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear and see speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. *Videos of Rallies '95-'97 cost £15.83 each, including p&p.*

Rally '94. Video of the BNP Annual Rally, staged near London on November 5th 1994. Speeches by David Bruce, Richard Edmonds, Gunther Deckert, Claude Cornilleau, Michael Newland and John Tyndall.

Videos of Rally '87, Rally '89, Rally '90, Rally '91, Rally '92 and Rally '93 are also available. All feature a wide range of speakers, including John Tyndall, Richard Edmonds and David Bruce, plus some excellent contributions from local BNP officials and guest speakers.

Videos of Rally '87 to Rally '94 cost just £13.05 incl. p&p. All are ideal to show to family or friends, or for use at local BNP meetings if a main speaker is not available. Use them to help speed up the political education of new recruits, or to inspire 'old hands' to that vital bit of extra effort. TV is a powerful weapon — use it to help build the BNP!



For the first time, the British National Party is taking advantage of the video revolution to bring its message into your drawing room!

This short film has been made in support of BNP candidates in the coming local government elections in East London. Some candidates and one ordinary voter are featured in the film talking about the important local issues on which the BNP will be fighting — issues which the Labour, Tory and LibDem parties prefer to ignore.

Also included in the film is the party election broadcast made by BNP leader John Tyndall, which was shown to the whole nation just before the general election of May 1997. If you missed this, now's your chance to see it!

Because some households in your ward will not have a video player, we are not putting a copy of this video into every home. If you don't have a player, please pass the video on to a neighbour you know who has. If you do have one, please still pass the video on after you have played it to your family. We want the very maximum number of people to get this very important message!

East London council elections 'Vote BNP' video. Includes the uncut general election broadcast. 17 minutes of ground-breaking publicity material, the 'Vote BNP' video costs just £6.50 incl. p&p.

BNP AUDIO TAPES

Rally '95. Tape-recording of the BNP Annual Rally, staged in London on November 11th 1995. Hear speeches by John Morse, Richard Edmonds, Thomas Andersson, Michael Newland, Dr. William Pierce and John Tyndall. £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

BEST-SELLING TAPE! Two recorded talks by John Tyndall: *The BNP: a movement for the new century*. An exposition of the main BNP policies; *Time for action!* A summons to the lazy and the apathetic to stir themselves and get involved! £6.00 plus 36p p&p.

ALLIED PUBLICATIONS

THE TRUTH AT LAST

Hard-hitting tabloid for American and other White Race patriots. *Truth At Last* is not a BNP publication but the topics are most enlightening covered as they are with total freedom of speech and frankness. Send £5 note for trial 6 month subscription. Obtainable from: *Truth At Last*, PO Box 1211, Marietta, Georgia 30061, U.S.A.

NATIONAL VANGUARD

Serious and thoughtful magazine produced by Dr. William L. Pierce of the National Alliance but of interest to white people all over the world. Appears irregularly. Send US\$5.50 for sample. 6 issue subscription: air mail US\$30; surface mail US\$18. Remit in US currency only. PO Box 330, Hillsboro, WV 24946, USA.

THE SPICE OF LIFE

ONCE again, there's so much going on around the country that it's impossible to cover everything, or to do justice to the dedicated work being put in by so many activists, organisers, and treasurers. A special mention, however, must go to the members from the South West who were first off the mark using the new 'Stop the Building' leaflet. In a response to militant objections by the residents of BISHOP's CLEEVE, Gloucestershire, to plans to build huge numbers of new homes in an area of outstanding natural beauty on the edge of their small town, more than a dozen BNP members delivered the new leaflets, together with copies of the 'Save Our Sterling' leaflet, to 2,500 houses. Both leaflets were sympathetically received by the locals, and the team were made very welcome at lunchtime by the landlord of a local pub, who obligingly provided food at short notice during hours when it was not normally served.

We also have to mention the anti-mosque campaign in REDDITCH, which — in addition to producing a wave of follow-ups and a number of new members — won the party repeated and quite fair mentions on both BBC Radio Hereford & Worcester, and on BBC Midlands Today TV news. Also of particular note was the work of members of the party's new Media Monitoring Unit, which successfully lobbied BBC Radio Leicester to drop a news report which attacked the BNP.

It would be wrong, however, to give the impression that life in the British National Party is only a matter of political activity. There is a growing social side to involvement in the BNP as well. The delayed report of the five-a-side football competition is just one example of this.

GOING TO THE DOGS

In an imaginative 'first', laid on by East Midlands regional organiser, John Peacock, 14 members and supporters spent an evening at Colwick Park greyhound stadium. While a number of long-standing members who had hoped to come were in fact on holiday, no fewer than five recently contacted potential members did attend.

All present enjoyed the evening, which included a restaurant meal, social chit-chat and a useful 'brain-storming' session, which focused particularly on the growing potential and need for a BNP youth wing. Mrs. Baker from Peterborough took the evening's gambling record, winning £6 on a single race, and the event raised £50 for the East Midlands Euro-Fund.

ULSTER PARADES

Members and supporters from various parts of Ulster were joined by a roving London activist at the big August Apprentice Boys' Parade in Londonderry. 150 *Spearheads* were sold, several thousand leaflets and back-issues of *British Nationalist* were given out, and serious quantities of beer were sunk!

FIVE-A-SIDE REPORT

Saturday, 18th July saw a hard-fought BNP five-a-side competition in the East Midlands.

Nottingham and Leicestershire both won their groups without losing a game, but rather than go straight through to the final, agreed to play the runners up in each others' groups. This produced semi-

final games of Notts. v. Todmorden, and Leicester v. Edinburgh.

A tactical game of "sit back and wait for the penalty shoot out" paid off for Todmorden, while Edinburgh shrugged off their earlier 4-0 thrashing by Nottingham to beat Leicester 2-1 in a game which resembled an England v. Wales rugby match.

The final therefore saw Todmorden going for their third successive win in three years, but the brilliance of Phil ('the cat') and superb acting by "Oh, ref, did you see that" Chris Jackson couldn't overcome the determination of Warren's Edinburgh team. The final score of 2-1 gave the Scots a well-deserved victory. Congratulations to Edinburgh on lifting the trophy, and thanks to all the supporters who came to watch.

How to obtain *Spearhead*

Don't miss your monthly copy of *Spearhead* — Britain's premier nationalist magazine. Becoming a subscriber guarantees that you'll keep your collection up to date with regular copies 'hot off the press.' And income from subscriptions helps to maintain *Spearhead*'s long record of frank and fearless comment on the state of Britain and the world. So subscribe today!

NAME.....

ADDRESS.....

The following rates are for 12 issues (please tick box where applicable):

British Isles: £22.50 Overseas surface mail: £24.60 Unsealed air mail Europe: £25.66 Unsealed air mail Middle East, Africa, N & S America: £32.30 Unsealed air mail Australasia, Far East: £33.90

PLEASE NOTE: These overseas rates apply if remittance is in Pounds Sterling. If remittance is in any other currency, an additional charge of £4.50 is required with cheques and money orders and £2.50 with banknotes.

Discounts for bulk purchases can be obtained as follows:-

10-19 copies: £1.10 each; 20-49 copies: £1.00 each; 50-99 copies: 83p each; 100-199 copies: 69p each; 200-499 copies: 60p each; 500 copies or over: 55p each (For advice on postal rates please contact our office).

All cheques and postal or money orders should be made out to *Spearhead* and sent to PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please do not include a payment for *Spearhead* in a remittance which includes payment for other items.

BUILD THE BNP — READ AND SELL *BRITISH NATIONALIST*

The monthly official BNP newspaper. Eight big pages packed with news and facts the controlled mass media don't want you to get to know about.

The latest issue kicks off the BNP's campaign to 'Stop the Building', and points out the connection between the destruction of the Green Belt and mass immigration. Plus the betrayal of Britain's fishermen, news of BNP activities all over Britain, and yet more reports of horrific anti-white violence by racist Muslims, hushed up by the 'national' media..

British Nationalist — the Voice of Britain!

For sample copy, bulk rates and subscription details, send 3 first class stamps or 2 IRCs to *British Nationalist*, PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW




The victorious Edinburgh BNP five-a-side football team pose before collecting their trophy. Spectators were divided as to whether being the only group playing in a proper team strip gave them the edge in the fiercely contested final.

With the competition being won by teams from further and further north every year, the organisers of the event have issued a challenge to BNP units from the South of England: "Come on, you southern softies," (well, actually, they didn't quite put it like that!) "when are you going to scrape a couple of teams together? We promise we'll not be too rough if your mums will let you come up to play!"



BNP Day of Action in Tipton, West Midlands. Black Country branch organiser Steve Edwards leads a big team of activists from several West Midlands units. They put out thousands of leaflets calling for a halt to the spate of anti-white racial attacks in the area.

The reverse of the leaflet explained that the local BNP is made up largely of the ex-National Democrats who did so much work for local people. Within hours of the leaflets going out, residents were 'phoning to say how glad they were to learn that the team was still available to help, and had joined the BNP.



RIGHTS FOR WHITES! That's the title of the best compilation album of the year. Produced in Sweden, but with all but two of the 12 tracks sung in English, *Rights For Whites* is mainly rock/Oi!-inspired music, by some of the best bands around.

This powerful CD includes tracks by Celtic Warrior, Whitelaw, White Lightning (featuring Billy from Celtic Warrior and Aussie Nigel), Germania and Storm — two of the classiest young bands in Sweden, and Norwegian band Norhat.

Rights For Whites costs just £12 including p&p. Please make cheques/POs payable to 'Tyr Services' and send to: BCM Tyr Services, London, WC1N 3XX. If sending cash, please use registered post.

Tyr Services also have a range of attractive badges, Celtic Cross pendants, flags, historical posters, White pride music videos and other merchandise. Send 2 x 1st Class stamps or IRCs to the above address for full catalogue.

CHURCH OF THE CREATOR

Classic books by one of white America's most outspoken thinkers. Still available: *Nature's Eternal Religion*; *The White Man's Bible*, and many others. Details from PO Box 2003, East Peoria, IL 61611, USA

SPEARHEAD SUPPORT FUND

Income from the sales of our magazine is not enough to cover production and administrative costs. We therefore rely on regular donations from our supporters so that we may remain solvent.

Because of the controversial nature of the opinions expressed in these columns, it is impossible to induce wholesale distributors to handle our magazine. Hence our need for additional financial support.

Please send all contributions to: Spearhead, c/o PO Box 117, Welling, Kent DA16 3DW. Please note that receipts are not normally sent for donations of less than £10 unless specifically requested, in which case an SAE would be much appreciated. Thanks.

"Things can only get better"

— Tony Blair, June 1997



Look at the things which have gone up under New Labour:-

Interest rates Release of paedophiles Fat cats' pay Immigration Crime and taxes
Loss of manufacturing jobs Resignations by skilled nurses and teachers Cronyism
Destruction of the Green Belt Small business bankruptcies
Anti-white racism Release of terrorists
The champagne lifestyle of Labour MPs Hospital waiting lists
Council corruption and membership of the BNP!

BRITISH NATIONAL PARTY
We share *your* idea of 'better'!

For more information on the British National Party send 50p, or a larger donation, to:-

The Secretary
c/o P.O. Box 117,
WELLING
KENT DA16 3DW
0374-454893
<http://www.webcom.com/bnp>

Name.....

Address.....

.....

I enclose